arXiv:1207.6451v1 [math.RT] 27 Jul 2012

ASSOCIATED CYCLES OF LOCAL THETA LIFTS OF UNITARY CHARACTERS AND UNITARY LOWEST WEIGHT MODULES

HUNG YEAN LOKE, JIA-JUN MA, AND U-LIANG TANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study representations of the indefinite orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(n, m)$ which are obtained from local theta lifts of one dimensional characters or unitary lowest weight modules of the double covers of the symplectic groups. We will compute the associated cycles of these representations. We will show that some of these representations satisfy a K-type formula in a conjecture of Vogan on unipotent representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study representations of the indefinite orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(n, m)$ which are obtained from local theta lifts of one dimensional characters or unitary lowest weight modules of the double covers of the symplectic groups. We will compute the associated cycles of these representations. We will show that some of these representations satisfy a K-type formula in a conjecture of Vogan on unipotent representations in [V3].

First we introduce the representations ϑ and $\vartheta(\mu)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p(n+m), \mathbb{R})$ be the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}(p(n+m), \mathbb{R})$. It contains a dual pair $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p, \mathbb{R})' \cdot \tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$. Here $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p, \mathbb{R})'$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$ are (possibly split) two covers of $\mathrm{Sp}(p, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{O}(n, m)$ respectively. A Harish-Chandra module of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p, \mathbb{R})'$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$) is called a genuine representation if it does not factor through the linear group $\mathrm{Sp}(p, \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathrm{O}(n, m)$).

We will always assume that $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p, \mathbb{R})'$ splits over $\mathrm{Sp}(p, \mathbb{R})$. This happens if and only if $m + n$ is even. Let ς' denote the genuine one dimensional character of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p, \mathbb{R})'$. We fix an oscillator representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p(n+m), \mathbb{R})$ and we let $\theta(\varsigma')$ denote the local theta lift of ς' to $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$ with respect to this oscillator representation [H2]. The module $\theta(\varsigma')$ is an irreducible Harish-Chandra module of $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$. The group $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$ splits over $\mathrm{O}(n, m)$ if and only if p is even. Since $m + n$ is even, $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$ has 8 connected components and it has four genuine onex dimensional characters. We will choose a genuine character ς of $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$ so that $\vartheta = \varsigma\theta(\varsigma')$ is an irreducible Harish-Chandra module of $\mathrm{O}(n, m)$ and has a K-type decomposition as in (5).

Irreducible representations of $\mathrm{O}(r')$ are parameterized by certain arrays of nonnegative integers $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{r'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$. See Section 2.1. Let τ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{O}(r')$ corresponding to μ. Then the local theta lift $L(\tau)$ of τ to a double cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p, \mathbb{R})''$ is a unitarizable lowest weight module. A result of [EHW] states that conversely, a unitarizable lowest weight module of the connected component of a double

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E46, 22E47.

Key words and phrases. local theta lifts, nilpotent orbits, associated varieties, associated cycles, special unipotent representations.

cover of $\mathrm{Sp}(p,\mathbb{R})$ is the restriction of a unique $L(\tau)$. Let $\theta(L(\tau))$ denote its local theta lift to $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n,r)$. We suppose that it is nonzero. We will choose a genuine character ς_1 of $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n,r)$ such that $\vartheta(\tau) := \varsigma_1\theta(L(\tau))$ is an irreducible Harish-Chandra module of $\mathrm{O}(n,r)$ and has a K-type decomposition as in (7). We also denote $\vartheta(\tau)$ by $\vartheta(\mu)$.

1.1. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $n \geq 2p$, $m = r + r' \geq 2p$ and $n + m$ is even. We exclude $n = m = 2p$. If this happens, then we say that (p, n, m) is in the stable range. In Section 2.3, we will address the situation when we are outside of this range.

For the rest of this introduction, all groups are complex algebraic groups and all Lie algebras are complex Lie algebras. Let $K_{n,m} = \mathrm{O}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(m,\mathbb{C})$. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ either be $(\mathfrak{so}(n+m,\mathbb{C}), K_{n,m})$ or $(\mathfrak{so}(n+r,\mathbb{C}), K_{n,r})$. Let (π, V_π) be the $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module (ϑ, V_ϑ) or $(\vartheta(\mu), V_\mu)$ respectively. In this paper we will compute the associated variety and cycle of (π, V_π).

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ denote the complexified Cartan decomposition. We will denote $\mathfrak{k}$ by $\mathfrak{k}_{n,m}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ by $\mathfrak{p}_{n,m}$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n+m,\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{p})$ denote the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{p}$. We will choose a finite dimensional K-invariant subspace G_0 of V_π in Section 7. If $\pi = \vartheta$, or if $\pi = \theta(\mu)$ and $n \leq m$, then G_0 is the minimal K-type.

Let $\{U_s : s \in \mathbb{N}\}$ denote the standard filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and let $G_s = \pi(U_s)G_0$ be the K-invariant subspace of V_π. Then $\{G_s : s \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a good filtration of V_π. The graded module $M = \mathrm{Gr}\, V_\pi = \oplus_{s\in\mathbb{N}}(G_s/G_{s-1})$ is an $(\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}), K)$-module. Let I denote the annihilator ideal of M in $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$. The ideal I cuts out a variety Z in $\mathfrak{p}^*$ called the associated variety. This variety is independent of the choice of G_0 and the good filtration.

Nilpotent $K_{n,m}$-orbits on $\mathfrak{p}^* = \mathfrak{p}^*_{n,m}$ are classified by signed Young diagram with n plus signs and m minus signs. See Theorem 9.3.4 in [CM]. Every row has pluses and minuses signs alternate across it. We will denote a row as a_+ (resp. a_-) if it has a boxes and whose first box on the left begins with a plus (resp. minus) sign.

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose (p, n, m) is in stable range. Let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the nilpotent $K_{n,m}$-orbit $2_+^p 2_-^p 1_+^{n-2p} 1_-^{m-2p}$ in $\mathfrak{p}^*$. Then the associated variety of ϑ is the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{O}$.*

1.3. Next we set $\pi = \theta(\mu)$. We assume that (p, n, m) is in stable range and $m = r + r'$. We will define a $K_{n,r}$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_1$ in $\mathfrak{p}^*_{n,r}$. We divide into three cases:

(I) If $r, r' \geq p$, then we set $\mathcal{O}_1 = 3_+^p 1_+^{n-2p} 1_-^{r-p}$.
(II) If $r > p > r'$, then we set $\mathcal{O}_1 = 3_+^{r'} 2_+^{p-r'} 2_-^{p-r'} 1_+^{n-2p} 1_-^{r-2p+r'}$.
(III) If $r' > p > r$, then we set $\mathcal{O}_1 = 3_+^r 1_+^{n-2r}$.

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose (p, n, m) is in stable range and $m = r+r'$. Then the associated variety of $\vartheta(\mu)$ is the Zariski closure of the nilpotent $K_{n,r}$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_1$.*

The proof is given in Section 7.2.

In both Propositions 1.2 and 1.4, we will also show that the annihilator ideal I is the prime ideal in $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$ consisting of functions vanishing on the Zariski closure of the associated variety.

The definition of associated cycles of a Harish-Chandra module is more involved and we refer the reader to [V3] for details. Fortunately, by the above propositions, the associated variety of π is the closure of a single orbit $\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathfrak{p}^*$. Let $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and let K_x denote its stabilizer in K. We have $\mathcal{X} = Kx \simeq K/K_x$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_x$ denote the maximal ideal of x in $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$. Let $\mathcal{R}(\overline{X})$ denote the ring of regular functions on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$. Then the graded module M is an $(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}), K)$-module. Let M_x denote the localization of M at x. We define $\overline{M}_x := M_x/\mathfrak{m}_x M_x$ which is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space representation of K_x. Let $\chi(x, M)$ denote its virtual character and let $m_{\mathcal{X}} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{M}_x$ be its dimension. We say that $m_{\mathcal{X}}[\mathcal{X}]$ is the associated cycle of π. The definition of the associated cycle is independent of the choice of a good filtration and the point $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the coherent sheaf on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ defined by the finitely generated $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$-module M. Then $\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the K-equivariant locally free sheaf (or vector bundle) on $\mathcal{X}$ corresponding to the representation $\overline{M}_x$ of K_x. A main objective of this paper is to understand the sheaf $\mathcal{M}$.

First we treat the case $(\pi, V_\pi) = (\vartheta, V_\theta)$, $K = K_{n,m} = \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{O}$. We will pick an $e \in \mathcal{O}$. Its stabilizer is

$$K_e = \left\{ (go_1n_1, (g^{-1})^\top o_2n_2) \in P_p \times P'_p : \begin{array}{l} g \in \mathrm{GL}(p), o_1 \in \mathrm{O}(n-2p), n_1 \in N_p, \\ o_2 \in \mathrm{O}(m-2p), n_2 \in N'_p \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

where $P_p = (\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p)) \ltimes N_p$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ stabilizing a p-dimensional isotropic subspace in the standard representation $\mathbb{C}^n$. Similarly $P'_p = (\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(m-2p)) \ltimes N'_p$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(m)$.

Let $\mathrm{d}_p : P_p \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character given by

$$\mathrm{d}_p(go_1n_1) = \det(g). \tag{2}$$

We extend this character trivially across $\mathrm{O}(m)$. We state our first result.

Theorem 1.5. *(i) We have $\overline{M}_e = \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_e}$ as a representation of K_e, and $\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the corresponding K-equivariant invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{O}$.*

(ii) The associated cycle of ϑ is $1[\mathcal{O}]$.

(iii) As a K-module

$$V_\vartheta = M = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_e}^{K} \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_e}.$$

In (iii), $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})$ denotes the space of the sections of $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{O}$. The proof of the theorem will be given in Section 6.

We note that (ii) and Proposition 1.2 are immediate consequences of (i). The theorem is not entirely new. Part (ii) is a result of [NOZ]. If $n = 2p$, then (ii) is also a result of [T]. The equality $V_\vartheta = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_e}^{K} \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$ in (iii) is part of Yang's thesis [Yn]. Our contribution is to recognize and construct a natural $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$-morphism from $\mathcal{M}$ to the K-equivariant invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{O}$ in (i). We add that such a morphism is implicit in [NOZ]. The merit of using (i) is that we could now bypass the K-types or Hilbert polynomials computations in the previous work alluded above and prove Theorem 1.5(ii) and (iii) more conceptually and efficiently. We remark that Yamashita has used the concept of coherent sheaves to compute the associated cycles of unitary lowest weight modules [Ya].

Theorem 1.5 is a necessary step in our main Theorem 1.6 below.

Next we treat the case $(\pi, V_\pi) = (\vartheta(\mu), V_\mu)$, $K = K_{n,r} = \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{O}_1$. We have to impose addition conditions in (III) of Section 1.3. We will denote this sub-cases as (IIIb) and (IIIa).

(IIIa) Suppose $r' > p > r$ and $n \geq m$. Then we set $\mu = \frac{n-m}{2}\mathbf{1}_{p-r} = (\frac{n-m}{2}, \ldots, \frac{n-m}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ in $\mathbb{N}^{r'}$ where there are $p-r$ copies of $\frac{n-m}{2}$. See Section 2.1 for notation.

(IIIb) Suppose $r' > p > r$ and $n < m$. Then we set $\mu = (0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^{r'}$.

We pick an $e_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1$. It is more complicated to describe its stabilizer $K_1 = K_{e_1}$ and its representation $\overline{M}_{e_1}$. We will do this carefully in Sections 7.3 and 7.6 where we will define a representation $\overline{M}_1$ of K_1 such that $\overline{M}_{e_1} = \overline{M}_1$ for some many cases. In this introduction, we will be contended with some properties of $\overline{M}_1$. Let $m_1 = \dim \overline{M}_1$.

(I) Suppose $r, r' \geq p$. Since $\mathrm{O}(r')$ contains $\mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r'-p)$, the $\mathrm{O}(r'-p)$ invariants $\tau^{\mathrm{O}(r'-p)}$ is an $\mathrm{O}(p)$-module. The stabilizer K_1 contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}(p)$ and $\overline{M}_1$ restricted to $\mathrm{O}(p)$ is isomorphic to $\det_{\mathrm{O}(p)}^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \tau^{\mathrm{O}(r'-p)}$. In particular $m_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tau^{\mathrm{O}(r'-p)}$.

(II) Suppose $r > p > r'$. Then K_1 contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}(r')$ and $\overline{M}_1$ restricted to $\mathrm{O}(r')$ is isomorphic to $\det_{\mathrm{O}(r')}^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \tau$. In particular $m_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tau$.

(IIIa) Suppose $r' > p > r$, $n \geq m$ and $\mu = \frac{n-m}{2}\mathbf{1}_{p-r}$. Then $\theta(\mu)$ is nonzero and it contains the K-type $\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{O}(n)} \otimes \det_{\mathrm{O}(r)}^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$ with multiplicity one. The stabilizer K_1 contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r)$, and $\overline{M}_1 = \det_{\mathrm{O}(r)}^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{O}(n-2r)}$ as an $\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r)$-module. In particular $m_1 = 1$.

(IIIb) Suppose $r' > p > r$, $n < m$, $\mu = 0$ and τ is the trivial representation of $\mathrm{O}(r')$. Then $\theta(\mu)$ is nonzero. The stabilizer K_1 contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r)$. With reference to the notation in Section 2.1, let τ' denote the irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{O}(n-2r)$ with highest weight $\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_{p-r}$. Then $\overline{M}_1 = \det_{\mathrm{O}(r)}^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \otimes \tau'$ as an $\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r)$-module. In particular $m_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tau'$.

We can now state our main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose we are in Cases (I), (II), (IIIa) or (IIIb).*

(i) The sheaf $\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{O}_1}$ is the $K_{n,r}$-equivariant locally free sheaf corresponding to the representation $\overline{M}_1$ of K_1.

(ii) The associated cycle of $\vartheta(\mu)$ is $m_1[\mathcal{O}_1]$ where $m_1 = \dim \overline{M}_1$.

The proof is given in Section 7.7.

Part (ii) is an immediate consequence of (i). If $r > 2p$, then (ii) is Case 1 of Theorem 4.7 in [NZ]. If $r \geq n = 2p$ and $\mu = 0$, then (ii) is also a result of [T]. For Case (III) in general, we have a weaker result, namely Proposition 7.9, which sets an upper bound for the multiplicity of the associated cycle. In Section 7.10 we give a counterexample to a conjecture of K. Nishiyama.

Proposition 1.7. *In Cases (II) or (III)*

$$V_\mu = M = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_1) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K_{n,r}} \overline{M}_{e_1}$$

as $K_{n,r}$-modules.

The proof is given in Section 8.1. The proposition is false for Case (I) because V_μ is strictly contained in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_1)$.

In the final section of this paper, we connect our results with Conjecture 12.1 in [V3] on unipotent representations. First we review the conjecture. Let x be an element in a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathfrak{k}_x$ denote the complex Lie algebra of its stabilizer K_x. It is a complex Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$. We define a character γ of K_x by $\gamma(k) = \det(\mathrm{Ad}^*(k))|_{(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}_x)^*}$ for all $k \in K_x$. An algebraic representation (χ, V_χ) of K_x is called *admissible* if

$$\chi(\exp(X))\mathbf{v} = \gamma(\exp(X/2))\mathbf{v} \tag{3}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{k}_x$ and $\mathbf{v} \in V_\chi$. Vogan conjectured that for every admissible representation χ of K_x, there exists an irreducible unitarizable $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module (π, V_π) such that, as a representation of K,

$$V_\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_x}^{K} \chi. \tag{4}$$

This implies that π has associated cycle $(\dim \chi)[\mathcal{X}]$. Such modules are candidates for the conjectured unipotent $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules attached to $\mathcal{X}$.

We state a result of Yang [Yn].

Theorem 1.8. *For $(\pi, V_\pi) = (\vartheta, V_\vartheta)$, the character $\overline{M}_e = \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$ in Theorem 1.5 is an admissible representation of K_e and, $V_\vartheta = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_e}^{K} \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$.*

We will supply a proof of the above theorem using our results in Section 6.3. It is the desire to extend Yang's result to $\vartheta(\mu)$ which provided the initial motivation for this paper.

Corollary 1.9. *We refer to Cases (II) and (IIIa) in Theorem 1.6.*

(i) In Case (IIIa), the representation $\overline{M}_1$ is an admissible representation of K_1.

(ii) In Case (II), $\overline{M}_1$ is an admissible representation if and only if $\dim \tau = 1$.

Furthermore, in the above admissible cases, $V_\mu = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K} \overline{M}_1$.

The proof is given in Section 8.5. Finally in Propositions 8.6 and 8.7, we identify those representations in Corollary 1.9 which are special unipotent representations as defined in Chapter 12 in [V2].

Most of our methods and results extend to the dual pairs $\mathrm{U}(p,q) \times \mathrm{U}(n,m)$ and $\mathrm{O}^*(2p) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(n,m)$. We have decided to omit them so as to keep this paper simple.

Acknowledgment. The first author is supported by an National University of Singapore grant R-146-000-131-112. We thank Kyo Nishiyama and Chengbo Zhu for their helpful comments.

2. Local theta lifts

2.1. **Notations.** Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of non-negative integers. Let $\mathbf{1}_n = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\mathbf{0}_n = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then we denote $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ by (λ, ξ).

Irreducible representations of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ are parameterized by arrays of the form

$$\mu = (a_1, \dots, a_k, \mathbf{0}_{n-k}) \text{ or } (a_1, \dots, a_k, \mathbf{1}_{n-2k}, \mathbf{0}_k)$$

in $\mathbb{N}^n$ where a_i are positive integers, $a_i \geq a_{i+1}$ and $k \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$. See [GW]. We will call these arrays *weights* of $\mathrm{O}(n)$. In general, we would ignore the string of zeros in the weights and write $(a_1, \dots, a_k, \mathbf{0}_{n-k})$ as $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ instead.

Let $\Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n))$ denote the set of such weights. Let $\tau^{\mu}_{\mathrm{O}(n)}$ denote the irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ with highest weight μ. We will denote the trivial representation of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ by $\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{O}(n)}$. Finally we recall a branching rule: The dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(n)}(\tau^{\mu}_{\mathrm{O}(n)}, \tau^{\mu'}_{\mathrm{O}(n+1)})$ is at most one and it is one if and only if $\mu'_i \geq \mu_i \geq \mu'_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

2.2. **Local theta lifts.** First we recall some facts about the local theta lifts of unitary characters and unitary lowest weight modules in [Lo]. Also see [KØ] when $p = 1$. We will assume that $n + m$ is even and (p, n, m) is in the stable range.

Let W denote the standard representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(p(n+m))$. We give a complex structure on W and realize the oscillator representation on the Fock space Ω, i.e. $\Omega = \mathcal{R}(W)$ is space of complex polynomials on W. We have the dual pair $(G', G) = (\mathrm{Sp}(p, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(n, m))$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(p(n+m), \mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathfrak{g}'$ and $K'(\mathbb{R})$ denote the complexified Lie algebra and maximal compact subgroup of G' etc... Suppose ρ' (resp. ρ) is an irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K'(\mathbb{R})})$-module (resp. $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{K(\mathbb{R})})$-module). The tilde above each group denotes an appropriate double cover. Suppose there is a nontrivial quotient map $\Omega \to \rho' \otimes \rho$ of $(\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K'(\mathbb{R})}) \times (\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{K(\mathbb{R})})$-modules. Then a famous theorem of Howe states that the isomorphism class of ρ is uniquely determined by that of ρ' and conversely. We denote ρ by $\theta(\rho')$ and call it the *local theta lift* of ρ'.

First we set $\rho' = \varsigma'$ to be the one dimensional character of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p(n+m), \mathbb{R})$ as in Section 1 and we consider the local theta lift $\theta(\varsigma')$. There are four choices of the genuine character ς of $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n, m)$. Let $K_{n,m} = \mathrm{O}(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(m, \mathbb{C})$. We will fix a choice of ς so that $\vartheta = \varsigma\theta(\varsigma')$ has $K_{n,m}$-types

$$\vartheta = \bigoplus_{l=(l_1,\dots,l_p)} \tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{(l+\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p, \mathbf{0}_{n-p})} \boxtimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(m)}^{(l, \mathbf{0}_{m-p})} \tag{5}$$

where is sum is taken over $l = (l_1, \dots, l_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p$ such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \ldots \geq l_p \geq \min(\frac{n-m}{2}, 0)$. Since we are in the stable range, the module ϑ is unitarizable by [Li1]. The minimal $K_{n,m}$-type $\tau_{\min}$ is $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{(\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p, \mathbf{0}_{n-p})} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{O}(m)}$ if $m \geq n$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{O}(n)} \boxtimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(m)}^{(\frac{n-m}{2}\mathbf{1}_p, \mathbf{0}_{m-p})}$ if $m < n$.

Let $m = r + r'$. Since ϑ is $\mathrm{O}(n) \times 1$-admissible, the restriction of ϑ as a Harish-Chandra module of $(\mathfrak{so}(n, r), K_{n,r}) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$ decomposes discretely as a direct sum

$$\vartheta = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(\mathrm{O}(r'))} \vartheta(\mu) \boxtimes \tau^{\mu}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}. \tag{6}$$

It follows that $\vartheta(\mu)$ is unitarizable and it has $K_{n,r}$-types

$$\vartheta(\mu) = \bigoplus_{l=(l_1,\ldots,l_p)} \left(\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{(l+\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p,\mathbf{0}_{n-p})} \boxtimes \sum_{\kappa} m(l,\mu,\kappa)\tau_{\mathrm{O}(r)}^{\kappa} \right) \tag{7}$$

where the sum is as in (5) and $m(l,\mu,\kappa)$ is the multiplicity of $\tau^{\kappa}_{\mathrm{O}(r)} \boxtimes \tau^{\mu}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}$ in $\tau^{(l,\mathbf{0}_{m-p})}_{\mathrm{O}(m)}$. In particular $\vartheta(\mu)$ is nonzero if and only $m(l,\mu,\kappa) \neq 0$ for some l and κ.

Suppose $k \leq p$. We lift $\tau^{(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k,\mathbf{0}_{r'-k})}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}$ to a unitarizable lowest weight module $L(\mu)$ of a double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}(p,\mathbb{R})$. The lowest $\tilde{\mathrm{U}}(n)$-type has highest weight [KV]

$$(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k,\mathbf{0}_{p-k}) + \frac{n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p.$$

Let $\theta(L(\tau))$ be the theta lift of $L(\mu)$ to $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n,r)$. Then $\theta(L(\mu))$ is an irreducible and unitarizable Harish-Chandra module [Lo]. In addition there exists a genuine character ς_1 of $\tilde{\mathrm{O}}(n,r)$ such that $\vartheta(\mu) = \varsigma_1\theta(L(\tau))$.

2.3. Let $m = r + r'$. One could easily extend the definitions of ϑ and $\vartheta(\mu)$ when (p,n,m) is outside of the stable range. We will briefly explain their properties and refer the reader to [Lo] and [LMT] for details. For the ease of explaining, we assume that $n \leq m$. We will denote ϑ by $\vartheta_p^{n,m}$ and $\vartheta(\mu)$ by $\vartheta_p^{n,r}(\mu)$.

Outside the stable range, $\vartheta_p^{n,m}$ is nonzero if and only if one of the following holds:

(A) We have $n = m \leq 2p$. Then $\vartheta_p^{n,n} = \vartheta_{n-1-p}^{n,n}$ which is in the stable range. By (7), if $\vartheta^{n,r}_{n-1-p}(\mu) \neq 0$, then $\vartheta_p^{n,r}(\mu) = \vartheta^{n,r}_{n-1-p}(\mu)$.

(B) We have $p \leq n \leq 2p-1$ and $m = n+2$. Let δ denote the one dimensional character of $\mathrm{O}(n,n+2)$ which is $\det_{\mathrm{O}(n)}$ on $\mathrm{O}(n)$ and trivial on $\mathrm{O}(n+2)$. Then $\delta\vartheta_p^{n,n+2} = \vartheta_{n-p}^{n,n+2}$ and we are back to the stable range. By (7), $\delta\vartheta_p^{n,r}(\mu) = \vartheta_{n-p}^{n,r}(\mu)$.

Finally in Case (A), it is possible that $\vartheta_p^{n,r}(\mu) \neq 0$ but $\vartheta^{n,r}_{n-1-p}(\mu) = 0$. Most of these lifts $\vartheta_p^{n,r}(\mu)$'s are non-unitarizable. This situation arises because the maximal Howe quotient $\Theta(\varsigma')$ is reducible. It is possible to analyze of the K-types of $\Theta(\varsigma')$ as in [Lo] and compute its associated cycles. This is tedious so we will omit this case.

3. Locally free sheaves

In this section, we will state a useful proposition on local free sheaves over co-adjoint orbits. All Lie algebras are complex Lie algebras and all Lie groups are complex linear groups. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n+m,\mathbb{C})$ and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ denote the complexified Cartan decomposition. Let $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{p})$ be the symmetric algebra. It is also the algebra of polynomials $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ on $\mathfrak{p}^*$. Let $K = K_{n,m} = \mathrm{O}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(m,\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a co-adjoint K-orbit in $\mathfrak{p}^*$. We pick an $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Let K_x denote its stabilizer in K. Let $q : K \to \mathcal{X}$ defined by $q(k) = (\mathrm{Ad}^* k)x$. Let W be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-linear rational representation of the stabilizer K_x. For an open subset U of $\mathcal{X}$, let $\mathcal{R}(q^{-1}U)$ denote the algebra of regular functions on $q^{-1}(U)$. The group K_x acts on $\mathcal{R}(q^{-1}(U)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$ in the following way: Given $s \in K_x$, $f \in \mathcal{R}(q^{-1}(U))$ and $\mathbf{w} \in W$, we set $f_s(k) = f(ks)$ for all $k \in q^{-1}(U)$. Then s maps $f \otimes \mathbf{w}$ to $f_s \otimes s\mathbf{w}$. We set $\mathcal{L}(U) = (\mathcal{R}(q^{-1}(U)) \otimes W)^{K_x}$ to be the subspace of K_x-invariants in $\mathcal{R}(K) \otimes W$. This defines a locally free K-equivariant coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{X},W}$ on $\mathcal{X} \simeq K/K_x$. See Sections 1 and 2 in [CPS] for details. In particular we define $\operatorname{Ind}_{K_x}^K W = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) = (\mathcal{R}(K) \otimes W)^{K_x}$ and it is a left $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X})$-module.

Let $Z = \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ denote the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{X}$. It is an affine complex variety in $\mathfrak{p}^*$. We consider $B = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ as an $\mathcal{R}(Z)$-module and we let $\mathcal{B}$ be the corresponding coherent sheaf on Z. Let $r_x : B \to W$ denote the composite of the maps $B = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{L}_x \to \mathcal{L}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{L}_x = W$ where $\mathfrak{m}_x$ is the maximal ideal at x.

Proposition 3.1. *We assume the above notation. Suppose r_x is surjective.*

- *(i) Then $\mathcal{L}_x = \mathcal{R}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(Z)} B = \mathcal{B}_x$. Furthermore $\mathcal{B}|_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{L}$ as sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$.*
- *(ii) For any $f \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$, let U_f be the corresponding principal affine open subset of Z. Then $\mathcal{B}(U_f) = B_f = \mathcal{L}(U_f \cap \mathcal{X})$.*
- *(iii) We have $\mathcal{B}(U) = \mathcal{L}(U \cap \mathcal{X})$ for any open subset $U \subset Z$.*

Proof. Since r_x is surjective, $\mathcal{R}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{L}_x$ by Nakayama lemma. This proves the first assertion of (i). The second assertion of (i) holds because both $\mathcal{B}|_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are K-equivariant sheaves with the same stalk at $x \in \mathcal{X}$. This proves (i).

By (i), if $U_f \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ then (ii) holds. Now we prove the general case. First we write $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r U_{h_i}$ as a union of open affine subsets where $h_i \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$. Then we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to B \to \bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}(U_{h_i}) \to \bigoplus_{i<j} \mathcal{L}(U_{h_i} \cap U_{h_j}).$$

We will localize the above with respect to f. Now U_{h_i} and $U_{h_i f} = U_{h_i} \cap U_f$ are affine opens subsets in $\mathcal{X}$. Hence (ii) applies and we have

$$\mathcal{L}(U_{h_i})_f = (B_{h_i})_f = B_{h_i f} = \mathcal{L}(U_{h_i f}) = \mathcal{L}(U_{h_i} \cap U_f).$$

Similarly we get $\mathcal{L}(U_{h_i} \cap U_{h_j})_f = \mathcal{L}(U_{h_i h_j f}) = \mathcal{L}(U_{h_i} \cap U_{h_j} \cap U_f)$. Since localization is an exact functor, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to B_f \to \bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}(U_{h_i} \cap U_f) \to \bigoplus_{i<j} \mathcal{L}(U_{h_i} \cap U_{h_j} \cap U_f).$$

This proves (ii) because $\bigcup_{i=1}^r (U_{h_i} \cap U_f) = \mathcal{X} \cap U_f$. Finally

$$\mathcal{B}(U) = \varprojlim_{U_f \subset U} \mathcal{B}(U_f) = \varprojlim_{U_f \subset U} \mathcal{L}(U_f \cap \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{L}(U \cap \mathcal{X}).$$

This proves (iii) and the proposition. ☐

3.2. **Remark.** In the above proposition r_x would be surjective if $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) \neq 0$ and W is an irreducible representation of K_x (for example when $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 1$). Indeed we pick a nonzero $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. Then by the K-action, we may assume that $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ in W. Hence $r_x \neq 0$. The map r_x is surjective because W is an irreducible representation of K_x.

4. Good filtrations

In this section we will construct good filtrations using local theta correspondences. Unless otherwise stated, all Lie algebras and Lie groups are complex Lie algebras and Lie groups respectively. We set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n+m, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the complexified Cartan decomposition, $K = K_{n,m}$, $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{sp}(p, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{k}' \oplus \mathfrak{p}'$ and $K' = \mathrm{GL}(p)$. We will identify $\mathfrak{p}^*$

with the space of n by m complex matrices $M_{n,m}$. A tilde above a group will denote an appropriate double cover which is usually clear from the content.

First we review Section 3.3 in Nishiyama and Zhu [NZ].

We recall the oscillator representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p(n+m))$ on the Fock space $\Omega = \mathcal{R}(W)$ of complex polynomials in Section 2.2. We follow Howe's notation [H2] about diamond dual pairs. Let $(M(\mathbb{R}), K'(\mathbb{R})) = (\mathrm{U}(n,m), \mathrm{U}(p))$ be the dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(p(n+m), \mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathfrak{k}_M \oplus (\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{(0,2)})$ denote the Cartan decomposition of the complexified Lie algebra of $M(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}$ acts by multiplication of K'-invariant quadratic polynomials on W and $\mathfrak{m}^{(0,2)}$ acts by degree two K'-invariant differential operators.

Fact 3 in Howe's paper[H2] states that in $\mathfrak{sp}(p(n+m))$, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{(0,2)} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{(0,2)}. \tag{8}$$

The projection of $\mathfrak{p}$ to $\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}$ under the decomposition of the left hand side of (8) is a K-isomorphism. We identify $\mathfrak{p}$ as $\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)} \cong (M_{n,m})^*$ via this projection.

We also have the dual pair $(M'(\mathbb{R}), K(\mathbb{R})) = (\mathrm{Sp}(p,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(p,\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(n,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{O}(m,\mathbb{R}))$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(p(n+m),\mathbb{R})$. In a similar fashion, we have a Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{k}_{M'} \oplus ({\mathfrak{m}'}^{(2,0)} \oplus {\mathfrak{m}'}^{(0,2)}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}' \cong {\mathfrak{m}'}^{(2,0)}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}'$ is the non-compact part of $\mathfrak{g}'$.

The Fock space Ω has a natural grading $\Omega^d = \{f \in \mathcal{R}(W) | \deg f = d\}$ and a natural filtration $\Omega_j = \oplus_{d=0}^{j} \Omega^d$. Let $\mathrm{Gr}\,\Omega = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \Omega_j/\Omega_{j-1}$ be the graded module. Then $\mathfrak{m}^{(0,2)}$ acts by zero on $\mathrm{Gr}\,\Omega$. By (8) the $\mathfrak{p}$-action on $\mathrm{Gr}\,\Omega$ is equivalent to the $\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}$-action. Similarly the $\mathfrak{p}'$-action on $\mathrm{Gr}\,\Omega$ is equivalent to that of ${\mathfrak{m}'}^{(2,0)}$.

Suppose ρ' is an irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K'})$-module which occurs in the theta correspondence. In other words, there is a quotient map $\eta \colon \Omega \to \rho' \otimes \theta$ where $\theta = \theta(\rho')$ is an irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{K})$-module called the local theta lift of ρ'. Let j_0 be the first j such that $\eta(\Omega_j) \neq 0$. By [H2], $\rho' \otimes \theta$ is generated as a $U(\mathfrak{g}') \times U(\mathfrak{g})$-module by the image of a joint harmonics $\sigma' \otimes \sigma$ in degree j_0. Here σ' and σ are irreducible representations of $\widetilde{K'}$ and $\widetilde{K}$ respectively. We will call σ' the $\widetilde{K'}$-type of lowest degree for ρ'. We take a dual vector v'^* corresponding to a vector in the lowest degree σ' isotypic component of ρ'. Let $v'^* \otimes \mathrm{id} : \sigma' \otimes \theta \to \theta$ be given by $v' \otimes w \mapsto \langle v'^*, v' \rangle w$ and let

$$\nu \colon \Omega \xrightarrow{\eta} \rho' \otimes \theta \xrightarrow{\mathrm{proj} \otimes \mathrm{id}} \sigma' \otimes \theta \xrightarrow{v'^* \otimes \mathrm{id}} \theta \tag{9}$$

denote the composite map.

We define $F_j = \nu(\Omega_j)$, $G_j = U_j(\mathfrak{g})\nu(\Omega_{j_0})$ and $\mathrm{Gr}\,\theta = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} G_j/G_{j-1}$. It is clear that $\mathrm{Gr}\,\theta$ is an $(\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}), K)$-module, and G_j is a good filtration of θ, i.e. $G_{j+1} = \mathfrak{g}G_j$ and $\cup_j G_j = \theta$.

Lemma 4.1. *We have $F_{2j+j_0+1} = F_{2j+j_0}$ and $F_{2j+j_0} = G_j$.*

Proof. The map ν factors through the K'-covariant subspace $\Omega_{\sigma'}$ of type σ' of Ω. Let $\Omega(\sigma')$, $\Omega^d(\sigma')$ and $\Omega_j(\sigma') = \oplus_{d \leq j} \Omega^d(\sigma)$ denote the σ'-isotypic components of Ω, Ω^d and Ω_j respectively. Since K' has reductive action on Ω and preserves degree, $\Omega(\sigma')$ maps bijectively onto the covariant $\Omega_{\sigma'}$. Moreover $\Omega^d(\sigma') = \Omega^d \cap \Omega(\sigma')$ and $\Omega_j(\sigma') = \Omega_j \cap \Omega(\sigma')$.

Hence

$$\nu(\Omega_j(\sigma')) = F_j. \tag{10}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(\sigma')$ denote the σ'-isotypic component in the harmonic subspace $\mathcal{H}(K')$ of $\mathcal{R}(W)$ for K'. By [H2], we have $\mathcal{H}(\sigma') \subset \Omega^{j_0}$ and by [H1] we have

$$\Omega(\sigma') = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)})\,\mathcal{H}(\sigma'). \tag{11}$$

We observe that $\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}$ is abelian so $U_j(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}) = \sum_{d=0}^{j} \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)})$. Since $\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}$ are degree two polynomials, $\Omega^j(\sigma') = 0$ if $j \not\equiv j_0 \pmod 2$. It follows from (10) and (11) that $\nu(U_j(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)})\,\mathcal{H}(\sigma')) = F_{2j+j_0} = F_{2j+j_0+1}$.

We will prove $G_j = F_{2j+j_0}$ by induction. First we have $G_0 = F_{j_0}$. Suppose $G_{j-1} = F_{2(j-1)+j_0} = \nu(\Omega')$ where $\Omega' = \Omega_{2(j-1)+j_0}$. Since $G_j \subseteq F_{2j+j_0}$, if suffices to show that $F_{2j+j_0} \subseteq G_j$. By (8), $\Omega' + \mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}\Omega' = \Omega' + \mathfrak{p}\Omega'$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2j+j_0} &= \nu(U_j(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)})\mathcal{H}(\sigma')) \subseteq \nu(\Omega' + \mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}\Omega') = \nu(\Omega' + \mathfrak{p}\Omega') = \nu(\Omega') + \mathfrak{p}\nu(\Omega') \\ &= G_{j-1} + \mathfrak{p}G_{j-1} = G_j. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $F_{2j+j_0} = G_j$ and completes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark. The first equality of the above lemma was proved in [NZ]. The second equality was assumed without proof in that paper.

Let $\mathrm{Gr}^d\theta = F_d/F_{d-1}$ and $\mathrm{Gr}\,\theta = \bigoplus_d \mathrm{Gr}^d\theta$. It is a graded $(\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}), \tilde{K})$-module if we consider $\mathfrak{p}$ has degree 2 and $\mathrm{Gr}^d\theta$ is non-zero only if $d = 2j + j_0$ for $j \geq 0$. This grading is essentially same as the one discussed in Section 1.1 and in [V1] modulo the shifting in degrees. We will use this new grading for the rest of this paper.

Let $M_{p,m}$ denote the space of complex p by m matrices. Let $W^+ = M_{p,n}$, $W^- = M_{p,m}$ and $W = M_{p,n+m} = W^+ \times W^-$. We define the $K \times K'$-equivariant quotient maps [NZ]:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\colon W = W^+ \times W^- &\to M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}), & \psi\colon W = W^+ \times W^- &\to M_{p,p}(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{p,p}(\mathbb{C}) \\ (A,B) &\mapsto A^\top B & (A,B) &\mapsto (AA^\top, BB^\top). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}$ can be identified as the dual of $M_{n,m}$. For any $h \in \mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}$, h acts as multiplication by the degree two polynomial $h \circ \phi$ on $\mathcal{R}(W)$. Similarly $h' \in (\mathfrak{m}')^{(2,0)}$ acts as multiplication by degree two polynomial $h' \circ \psi$ on $\mathcal{R}(W)$.

5. The null cones and orbits

In this section, we study some relevant nilpotent orbits. All groups in this section are complex linear groups.

Let $M_{n,m}$ denote the space of n by m complex matrices. Let E_{ij} denote the matrix whose all entries are zero except its (i,j)-th entry is 1. Let $\mathbb{C}^n$ be the quadratic space with bilinear form $x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2$. Let $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_n\}$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\mathrm{O}(n) = \mathrm{O}(n,\mathbb{C})$ be the corresponding orthogonal group defined by the quadratic form. Similarly we have $\mathbb{C}^m$ with the $\mathrm{O}(m)$ action. Let $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_m\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let $\mathbb{C}^r$ denote the quadratic subspace spanned by $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_r\}$ and let $\mathrm{O}(r)$ denote the corresponding orthogonal group.

For $2p \leq n$, we define a p by n matrix

$$\begin{aligned} E(p,n) &:= (E_{11}+\ldots+E_{pp})+\sqrt{-1}(E_{1,n-p+1}+\ldots+E_{p,n}) \\ &= \overbrace{\left(\underbrace{\begin{matrix}1 & & 0\\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1\end{matrix}}_{p}\;\begin{matrix}\cdots\\ \cdots\\ \cdots\end{matrix}\;\underbrace{\begin{matrix}\sqrt{-1} & & 0\\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sqrt{-1}\end{matrix}}_{p}\right)}^{n}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

For $j = 1, \ldots, p$, we set $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{f}_j + \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{f}_{n-p+j}$. Then $E(p,n)^\top = (\mathbf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_p)$ is the n by p matrix with the $\mathbf{v}_j$'s as column vectors.

Let $E^+ = E(p,n)^\top$ above and let $M^+_{p,m} := E^+ M_{p,m}$ in $M_{n,m}$. The column space of $M^+_{p,m}$ is the isotropic subspace V of dimension p spanned by the $\mathbf{v}_j$'s. Let V^* denote the column space of $\overline{E^+}M_{p,m}$. Let P_p denote the stabilizer of V. Then it is a maximal parabolic subgroup with Levi decomposition $P_p = L_p \ltimes N_p$ where $L_p = \mathrm{GL}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p)$ is the Levi subgroup which stabilizes V and V^*. We identify $\mathrm{GL}(p) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ in the following way: For $g \in \mathrm{GL}(p)$ we associate an $o_g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \subset \mathrm{O}(n)$ by formula

$$o_g E^+ = E^+ g. \tag{13}$$

The action of P_p on $M^+_{p,m}$ induces an action of P_p on $M_{p,m}$, namely, $\mathrm{O}(n-2p)$ and N_p acts trivially on $M_{p,m}$ and $\mathrm{GL}(p)$ acts by left multiplication. Likewise, by replacing n by m, we define $P'_p = L_m \ltimes N'_p$ in $\mathrm{O}(m)$.

Let $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition of the complexified Lie algebra of the real Lie group $\mathrm{O}(n,r)$. We will identify the complex space $\mathfrak{p}^*$ with $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^r = M_{n,r}$. The complex linear group $K_{n,r} = \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$ acts on $M_{n,r}$ via the co-adjoint action by $\mathrm{Ad}^*(g_1,g_2)(X) = g_1 X g_2^{-1}$ where $g_1 \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ and $g_2 \in \mathrm{O}(r)$. Similarly $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$ acts on $M_{p,r}$ by $\mathrm{Ad}^*(g_1,g_2)(X) = g_1 X g_2^{-1}$ where $g_1 \in \mathrm{GL}(p)$ and $g_2 \in \mathrm{O}(r)$.

5.1. **Notation.** We will be using the following notation in the next few sections:

Let (p,n,m) be in stable range and let $m = r + r'$. We set $E^+ = (E(p,n))^\top$ and $E = E(p,m)$ as above. Let $e = E^+E$. For any $0 \leq q \leq \min(p,r)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(p,r;q) &= \left\{ X \in M_{p,r} \;\middle|\; \mathrm{rank}(X) = \min(p,r),\ \mathrm{rank}(XX^\top) = q \right\} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{O}(p,r;q) &= \left\{ oE^+X \in M_{n,r} \;\middle|\; o \in \mathrm{O}(n),\ X \in \mathcal{N}(p,r;q) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}(p,r;q) = (\mathrm{Ad}K_{n,m})\, E^+\mathcal{N}(p,r;q)$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(p,m;0)$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(p,m;0)$. The Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is called the null cone in $M_{p,m}$. If $q = \min(p,r,r')$, then we denote $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}(p,r;q)$ and $\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathcal{O}(p,r;q)$. Let $\mathcal{N}_0$ denote the $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$-orbit of E in $M_{p,m}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_0$ denote the $\mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$-orbit of e in $M_{n,m}$.

Let $\mathrm{pr} : M_{p,m} \to M_{p,r}$ denote the projection map by deleting the last r' columns of $M_{p,m}$. Let $E_1 = \mathrm{pr}(E)$. Similarly let $\mathrm{pr}_K : M_{n,m} \to M_{n,r}$ be the projection map defined by deleting the last r' columns of $M_{n,m}$. Let $e_1 = E^+E_1$.

Lemma 5.2. *The subset $\mathcal{N}_1$ is a single $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$-orbit generated by E_1 and $\mathcal{O}_1$ is a single $K_{n,r}$-orbit generated by e_1.*

The orbits $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}_1$ agree with the ones defined in Proposition 1.2 and in Section 1.3 respectively using signed Young diagrams. Clearly $\mathrm{pr}_K e = e_1$, $\mathrm{pr}_K(\mathcal{O}_0) = \mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathrm{pr}(\mathcal{N}_0) = \mathcal{N}_1$. We will show later in Proposition 5.5 that $\mathrm{pr}_K(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_1}$ and $\mathrm{pr}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{N}_1}$. The following two diagrams are instructive:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_{n,m} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_K"] & \supset & \mathcal{O} \arrow[d] & \supset & \mathcal{O}_0 \arrow[d] & \ni & e \arrow[d, mapsto] \\
M_{n,r} & \supset & \overline{\mathcal{O}_1} & \supset & \mathcal{O}_1 & \ni & e_1
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
M_{p,m} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}"] & \supset & \mathcal{N} \arrow[d] & \supset & \mathcal{N}_0 \arrow[d] & \ni & E \arrow[d, mapsto] \\
M_{p,r} & \supset & \overline{\mathcal{N}_1} & \supset & \mathcal{N}_1 & \ni & E_1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2. The assertion about $\mathcal{O}_1$ follows immediate from the first assertion on $\mathcal{N}_1$. For $\mathcal{N}_1$, Proposition 3.1 in [Kn] proves the case $p \leq r$ while Proposition 3.7.2 in [H3] proves the case $p \geq r$. We give the proof for completeness. First we recall Witt's theorem in Section 3.7.1 in [H3]:

Theorem 5.3 (Witt's Theorem)**.** *Let $T_1, T_2 \in M_{r,p}$ be two complex matrices. Then there exists an $o \in \mathrm{O}(r)$ such that $oT_1 = T_2$ if and only if*

(i) $T_1^\top T_1 = T_2^\top T_2$ and,
(ii) $\ker T_1 = \ker T_2$ where we consider $T_i : \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^r$ as a linear transformation. □

We begin the proof of Lemma 5.2. It is clear that $E_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$. Let $X \in \mathcal{N}_1$. There is a $g \in \mathrm{GL}(p)$ such that $gXX^\top g^\top = E_1 E_1^\top$ because $\mathrm{GL}(p)$-orbits on the space of p by p symmetric matrices are parametrized by rank. We may replace gX by X so that $XX^\top = E_1 E_1^\top$. We will show that $X^\top$ and $E_1^\top$ satisfy Conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 5.3. The lemma will follow from the theorem.

Condition (i) is trivial. Now we check (ii). First suppose $r \geq p$. By definition $X^\top$ has rank p. Hence $\ker X^\top = \ker E_1^\top = 0$. Next suppose $r < p$. Then $p \leq r'$. Hence $X^\top$ and $XX^\top$ have the same rank by definition. By dimension counting $\ker X^\top = \ker XX^\top = \ker E_1 E_1^\top = \ker E_1^\top$. This gives (ii) and completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. □

Given a Zariski open subset Z of an affine variety, we will denote its ring of regular functions by $\mathcal{R}(Z)$. Suppose Z is a cone in $M_{p,m}$ with the natural $\mathbb{C}^\times$ action by given by scalar multiplication. Then we set

$$\mathcal{R}^d(Z) = \left\{\, f \in \mathcal{R}(Z) \;\middle|\; f(cX) = c^d f(X) \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{C}, X \in Z \,\right\}$$

to be the degree d regular functions. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{R}(Z) = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}^d(Z).$$

For example, if $Z = M_{p,m}$, then $\mathcal{R}^d(M_{p,m})$ is the usual degree d polynomials on $M_{p,m}$. Suppose G acts on the cone Z and commutes with the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ action, then $\mathcal{R}^d(Z)$ is a G-module for every $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can now state some results in Section 3.7 in [H3].

Proposition 5.4. *(i) Suppose $m \geq 2p$. Then as a* $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$*-module*

$$\mathcal{R}^d(\overline{\mathcal{N}}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \left(\tau^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{GL}(p)}\right)^* \otimes \tau^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{O}(m)}$$

where the sum is taken over $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p$ such that $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i = d$.

(ii) Let I be the ideal in $\mathcal{R}(M_{p,m})$ generated by degree two $\mathrm{O}(m)$*-invariant polynomials. If $m \geq 2p$, then $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}) = \mathcal{R}(M_{p,m})/I$.*

(iii) If $m > 2p$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}})$. □

Proposition 5.5. *(i) We have $\mathcal{N}_0 = (\mathrm{pr}^{-1}\mathcal{N}_1) \cap \mathcal{N}$ and it is a Zariski open and dense subset in $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$. In particular, $\overline{\mathcal{N}_0} = \overline{\mathcal{N}}$.*

(ii) The Zariski closure of the image $\mathrm{pr}(\overline{\mathcal{N}})$ *is $\overline{\mathcal{N}_1}$.*

(iii) We have $\mathcal{O}_0 = (\mathrm{pr}_K^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1)) \cap \mathcal{O}$ and it is a Zariski open and dense subset in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. In particular, $\overline{\mathcal{O}_0} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

(iv) The Zariski closure of the image $\mathrm{pr}_K(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ *is $\overline{\mathcal{O}_1}$.*

Proof. (i) By the definition of $\mathcal{N}_1$ and Lemma 5.2, we have $\mathrm{pr}\,\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N}_1$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_0 \subseteq (\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_1)) \cap \mathcal{N}$. On the other hand, let $X \in \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_1) \cap \mathcal{N}$. We set X_1 and X_2 to be the first r columns and the last r' columns of X. Since $X \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$X_1 X_1^\top + X_2 X_2^\top = 0. \tag{15}$$

By the action of $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$, we may assume $X_1 = E_1$. Let E_2 be the last r' columns of E. By (15), $X_2 X_2^\top = -X_1 X_1^\top = -E_1 E_1^\top = E_2 E_2^\top$. We claim that there is an $o \in \mathrm{O}(r')$ such that $o X_2^\top = E_2^\top$. For this, it suffices to verify Theorem 5.3(ii):

1. If $p \leq r, r'$, then $\ker X_2^\top = 0 = \ker E_2^\top$ since $\ker X_2 X_2^\top = 0$.
2. If $r' < p$, then $\ker X_2^\top = \ker E_2^\top$ by the same argument for the second case of Lemma 5.2.
3. If $r < p$, then $\ker X_2^\top \subseteq \ker X_2 X_2^\top = \mathbb{C}^{p-r}$. Since $X^\top$ has rank p, the last $p-r$ columns of $X^\top$ are linearly independent. However the last $p-r$ columns of $X_1^\top$ are zero. Hence the last $p-r$ columns of $X_2^\top$ are linearly independent. This means $\ker X_2^\top = 0 = \ker E_2^\top$.

This proves our claim. The claim implies that $X \in \mathcal{N}_0$. This proves $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_1) \cap \mathcal{N}$.

By above argument, $\mathcal{N}_0$ is the subset in $\mathcal{N}$ such that X_1 has full rank and $X_1 X_1^\top$ has maximal possible rank. Since rank condition is given by the non-vanishing of the determinate of minors, $\mathcal{N}_0$ is an open dense subset in $\mathcal{N}$. Moreover $\mathcal{N}_0$ is open and dense in $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ since $\mathcal{N}$ is open and dense in $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$. This finishes the proof of (i).

(ii) By (i), we have $\mathrm{pr}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{N}_1}$. Then (ii) follows because both subsets contain the $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$-orbit $\mathcal{N}_1$ generated by E_1.

(iii) It is clear that $\mathcal{O}_0 \subseteq (\mathrm{pr}_K^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1)) \cap \mathcal{O}$. Given $Y \in (\mathrm{pr}_K^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1)) \cap \mathcal{O}$, we may assume that $Y = E^+ X$ for some $X \in \mathcal{N}$ by the $\mathrm{O}(n)$-action. Now $Y_1 = \mathrm{pr}_K(E^+ X) = E^+ X_1 = o E^+ X_1' \in \mathcal{O}_1$ for some $o \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ and $X_1' \in \mathcal{N}_1$. We claim $X_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$. Indeed $\frac{1}{2}(\overline{E^+})^\top E^+$ is the identity p by p matrix. Therefore $\mathrm{rank}\, X_1 \geq \mathrm{rank}\,(Y_1) \geq \mathrm{rank}\,(\frac{1}{2}(\overline{E^+})^\top Y_1) = \mathrm{rank}\, X_1$. Applying same argument on X_1', we get $\mathrm{rank}\, X_1 = \mathrm{rank}\, Y_1 = \mathrm{rank}\, X_1'$. Similarly, we have $\mathrm{rank}\, X_1 X_1^\top = \mathrm{rank}\, Y_1 Y_1^\top = \mathrm{rank}\, X_1' X_1'^\top$. Therefore $X_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$ by definition (14). Now

$\mathcal{O}_0 = (\mathrm{pr}_K^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1)) \cap \mathcal{O}$ follows from (i). The rest of (iii) follows by the same argument as that of (i).

(iv) It is similar to that of (ii).

□

5.6. **Stabilizers.** We define the following stabilizers:

1. The stabilizer S of $E \in \mathcal{N}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$.
2. The stabilizer S_0 of $E \in \mathcal{N}_0$ in $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$.
3. The stabilizer S_1 of $E_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$ in $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$.
4. The stabilizer K_e of $e = E^+E \in \mathcal{O}$ in $\mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$.
5. The stabilizer K_0 of $e = E^+E \in \mathcal{O}_0$ in $\mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$.
6. The stabilizer K_1 of $e_1 = E^+E_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1$ in $\mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$.

Clearly $S_0 = S \cap (\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r'))$ and $K_0 = K_e \cap (\mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r'))$. It is also easy to see that $S_0 \subseteq S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(r')$ and $K_0 \subseteq K_1 \times \mathrm{O}(r')$.

The stabilizers S, S_0 and S_1. We recall that $P'_p = (\mathrm{GL}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(m-2p)) \ltimes N'_p$ is the stabilizer of the column space of $E^\top$ in $\mathrm{O}(m)$. We recall (13) where $g \in \mathrm{GL}(p)$ corresponds to $o_g \in P'_p$ such that $o_g E^\top = E^\top g$. Now it is easy to see that

$$S = \left\{ (g, o_{(g^{-1})^\top} hu) \in \mathrm{GL}(p) \times P'_p \;\middle|\; g \in \mathrm{GL}(p), h \in \mathrm{O}(m-2p), u \in N'_p \right\}. \tag{16}$$

Lemma 5.7. *We have*

$$S_1 = \{ (g_1, g_2) \in \mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \mid (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in S_0 \subset \mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r') \}.$$

Clearly S_1 contains the right hand side. The proof that S_1 is contained in the right hand side follows the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.2. We leave the details to the reader.

We will describe S_0 and S_1 explicitly later in Section 7.3.

The stabilizers K_e, K_0 and K_1. If $(g_1, g_2) \in K_e \subset \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$, then g_1 stabilizes the column space of e which is the span of $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_p\}$. Hence g_1 lies in the maximal parabolic subgroup $P_p = (\mathrm{GL}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p)) \ltimes N_p$. In a similar fashion $g_2 \in P'_p$. Together with (16), we get (1) and

$$\begin{aligned} K_e &= \{(o_g x u, g_2) \in P_p \times \mathrm{O}(m) | (g, g_2) \in S,\ x \in \mathrm{O}(n-2p),\ u \in N_p\} \\ &= (S \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p)) \ltimes N_p. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since $S_0 = S \cap (\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r'))$ and $K_0 = K_e \cap (\mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r'))$, we have $K_0 = (S_0 \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p))N_p$.

Let $K'_1 = (S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p))N_p$. If $p \le r$, then a similar proof as that of (17) gives

$$K_1 = K'_1 = \{ (g_1, g_2) \in \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \mid (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in K_0 \subset \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r') \}.$$

Suppose $p > r$. Let $P_r = (\mathrm{GL}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r)) \ltimes N_r$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup in $\mathrm{O}(n)$ stabilizing the span of $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_r\}$. Then

$$K_1 = \{(o_g x u, g) \in P_r \times \mathrm{O}(r) : g \in \mathrm{GL}(r), x \in \mathrm{O}(n-2r),\ u \in N_r\} \simeq P_r$$

and it contains K'_1 properly.

6. Associated cycle of ϑ

The objectives of this section are to prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.8.

Let $K = K_{n,m} = \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$. We recall the one dimensional genuine characters ς and ς' in Sections 1 and 2 such that $\vartheta = \varsigma\theta(\varsigma')$. We have the quotient map $\nu = \eta : \Omega \to \varsigma' \otimes \theta$ of $(\mathfrak{sp}(p), \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}(p)) \times (\mathfrak{so}(n,m), \widetilde{K})$-modules as in (9). We prefer to work with K-modules instead of $\widetilde{K}$-modules. By tensoring with $\varsigma_0 := (\varsigma')^{-1} \otimes \varsigma$, we have a quotient map

$$\eta_0 = \varsigma_0\eta : \varsigma_0\Omega \to 1 \otimes \vartheta \tag{18}$$

which is a homomorphism of $(\mathfrak{sp}(p), \mathrm{GL}(p)) \times (\mathfrak{so}(n,m), K)$-modules. We realized the Harish-Chandra module ϑ on the same vector space as that of $\theta(\varsigma')$. The good filtration $G_j = F_{2j+j_0}$ on the space of $\theta(\varsigma')$ defined at the end of Section 4 induces a good filtration on ϑ. We will continue to denote this filtration on ϑ by $G_j = F_{2j+j_0}$. One checks that the joint harmonics form the minimal K-type $\tau_{\min}$ of ϑ. Hence $G_j = F_{2j+j_0} = U_j(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot \tau_{\min}$.

Let $M = \mathrm{Gr}\,\vartheta = \oplus_d F_d/F_{d-1}$ denote the graded module. It is an $(\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}), K)$-module.

We continue to realize $\varsigma_0\Omega$ on $\mathcal{R}(W)$. We describe the actions of $K' = \mathrm{GL}(p)$ and $K_{n,m}$ actions on it explicitly: For $(g, g_1, g_2) \in \mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}(W)$,

$$((g, g_1, g_2) \cdot f)(z_1, z_2) = \det^{\frac{n-m}{2}}(g) f(g^\top z_1 g_1, g^{-1} z_2 g_2), \quad \forall (z_1.z_2) \in W^+ \times W^-.$$

We recall $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathcal{R}^2(W^+)^{\mathrm{O}(n)} \oplus \mathcal{R}^2(W^-)^{\mathrm{O}(m)}$. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathcal{I} \arrow[d, hook] \arrow[rd, "0"] & \\
\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[rd, two heads, "\cong"'] & \mathcal{R}(W) = \mathcal{R}(W^+) \otimes \mathcal{R}(W^-) \arrow[r, two heads, "\mathrm{Gr}\,\eta_0"] \arrow[d] & M = \mathrm{Gr}\,\vartheta \\
& \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^+}) \otimes \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^-}) \arrow[ru, dotted, two heads, "\overline{\mathrm{Gr}\,\eta_0}"] & \left(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^+}) \otimes \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^-})\right)^{K'} \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[u, hook, "\xi", "\cong"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \mathfrak{p}'\mathcal{R}(W)$ and $\mathcal{N}^\pm$ are the open null cones in $W^\pm$. Note that $\mathcal{N}^- = \mathcal{N}$ in Section 5.1.

We have assumed in Section 1 that (p, n, m) is in stable range. By Corollary 3.7.3.6 in [H3], $\mathcal{I}$ is precisely the ideal of polynomials vanishing on the null cone $\overline{\mathcal{N}^+} \times \overline{\mathcal{N}^-}$. This shows that middle-vertical line in the above commutative diagram is exact. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{g}'$ acts trivially on ϑ, so $\mathcal{I}$ has zero image in M. Hence the map $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}\,\eta_0}$ in the above diagram is well-defined. We recall $\phi : W \to M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$ defined at the end of Section 4 and $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(p, m; 0) = \phi(\mathcal{N}^+ \times \mathcal{N}^-)$. Hence if $f \in \mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$ vanishes on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, then $f \circ \phi$ vanishes on $\overline{\mathcal{N}^+} \times \overline{\mathcal{N}^-}$ so $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{I}$. From the commutative diagram above, f acts trivially on M. Hence the $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$ action on M factors through $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$, and M is an $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$-module.

Proposition 6.1. *The surjection* $\xi : \left(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^+}) \otimes \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^-})\right)^{K'} \to \mathrm{Gr}\,\vartheta$ *is an isomorphism of* $(\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}), K_{n,m})$*-modules.*

Proof. Since K' acts trivially on $\operatorname{Gr}\vartheta$, $\overline{\operatorname{Gr}\eta_0}$ factors through the K' co-invariants of $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^+})\otimes\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^-})$. On the other hand, the K' action is reductive and the K'-invariant subspace surjects onto the co-invariants. By Proposition 5.4(i), we have following K-module isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^+})\otimes\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^-}))^{K'} &= \left(\bigoplus_{\mu,\lambda}\tau_{\mathrm{GL}(p)}^{\mu+\frac{n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p}\otimes\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\mu}\otimes\left(\tau_{\mathrm{GL}(p)}^{\lambda+\frac{m}{2}\mathbf{1}_p}\right)^*\otimes\tau_{\mathrm{O}(m)}^{\lambda}\right)^{K'}\\ &= \bigoplus_{\mu+\frac{n-m}{2}\mathbf{1}_p=\lambda}\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\mu}\otimes\tau_{\mathrm{O}(m)}^{\lambda}\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

where the sums are taken over $\mu=(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_p)$ and $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_p)$ in $\mathbb{N}^p$ such that $\mu_1\geq\cdots\geq\mu_p\geq 0$ and $\lambda_1\geq\cdots\geq\lambda_p\geq 0$,

The K-types of the domain and the image of ξ are the same as those of ϑ by comparing (5) and (19). Therefore we conclude that the surjection ξ is an isomorphism. The symmetric algebra $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$ acts as multiplying K'-invariant polynomials, so ξ is also an $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$-module homomorphism. $\square$

We note that the summand $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\mu}\otimes\tau_{\mathrm{O}(m)}^{\lambda}$ in (19) occurs in degree $\sum_i\mu_i+\sum_i\lambda_i$. Hence when $m\geq n$,

$$\operatorname{Gr}^d\vartheta=\bigoplus_{\lambda}\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda+\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p}\otimes\tau_{\mathrm{O}(m)}^{\lambda}\tag{20}$$

where the sum is taken over $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_p)\in\mathbb{N}^p$ such that $\lambda_1\geq\cdots\geq\lambda_p\geq 0$ and $d=2(\sum_{i=1}^p\lambda_i)+p(\frac{m-n}{2})$. We have a similar formula when $n>m$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the K-equivariant invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{O}\simeq K/K_e$ defined by the one dimensional character $\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$ of K_e in (2). The space of global sections on $\mathcal{O}$ is (see Section 3)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O})=\operatorname{Ind}_{K_e}^{K}(\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}).$$

It is an $(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}),K)$-module and hence an $(\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}),K)$-module.

Proposition 6.2. *The graded module M and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O})$ are isomorphic $(\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p}),K_{n,m})$-modules.*

Proof. We set $k=(g_1,g_2)\in\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(m)=K$ throughout this proof. We construct an embedding of $(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}),K)$-module as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\left(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^+})\otimes\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^-})\right)^{K'} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \operatorname{Ind}_{K_e}^{K}\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}\\ \sum_j f_j\otimes h_j & \mapsto & \left(F\colon k\mapsto\sum_j f_j((E^+)^\top g_1^\top)h_j(Eg_2^\top)\right).\end{array}$$

For $s=(o_gx_1u_1,o_{(g^{-1})^\top}x_2u_2)\in K_e$ as in (1),

$$\begin{aligned}F(ks) &= \sum_j f_j((g_1o_gx_1u_1E^+)^\top)h_j((g_2o_{(g^{-1})^\top}x_2u_2E^\top)^\top)\\ &= \sum_j f_j(g^\top(E^+)^\top g_1^\top)h_j(g^{-1}Eg_2^\top)=\sum_j\det^{\frac{n-m}{2}}(g^{-1})f_j((E^+)^\top g_1^\top)h_j(Eg_1^\top)\\ &= \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}(s^{-1})F(k).\end{aligned}$$

Hence F is in the space on the right hand side, and ι is well defined.

Since $((E^+)^\top, E)$ generates the dense K orbit $\mathcal{N}^+ \times \mathcal{N}^-$ in $\overline{\mathcal{N}^+} \times \overline{\mathcal{N}^-}$, the map ι is injective.

We check that the above map is an $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$-homomorphism. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(h \cdot f)(k) &= h \circ \phi((E^+)^\top g_1^\top, Eg_2^\top) f((E^+)^\top g_1^\top, Eg_2^\top) = h(g_1 E^+ E g_2^\top) f((E^+)^\top g_1^\top, Eg_2^\top) \\ &= (h \cdot \iota(f))(k) \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in \left(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^+}) \otimes \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{N}^-})\right)^{K'}$, $h \in \mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$, $k = (g_1, g_2) \in K$. It is easy to check that the $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{p})$-action is compatible with the K-action.

We compute the K-module structure of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}) &= \mathrm{Ind}_{P_p \times P'_p}^{\mathrm{O}(n)\times \mathrm{O}(m)} \mathrm{Ind}_{\Delta\mathrm{GL}(p)}^{\mathrm{GL}(p)\times\mathrm{GL}(p)} \det{}^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \\ &= \mathrm{Ind}_{P_p \times P'_p}^{\mathrm{O}(n)\times \mathrm{O}(m)} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_p)\in\mathbb{N}^p} \left(\tau_{\mathrm{GL}(p)}^{\lambda+\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p}\right)^* \otimes \left(\tau_{\mathrm{GL}(p)}^{\lambda}\right)^* \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\lambda+\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p, \lambda\in\mathbb{N}^p} \tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda+\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_p} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(m)}^{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ι is an injection, it is an isomorphism by comparing the above with (19). $\square$

We will now prove Theorem 1.5. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the coherent sheaf of M on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. The last proposition proves Theorem 1.5(iii). By applying Proposition 3.1(i) and Remark 3.2 to the last proposition, we have Theorem 1.5(i). Part (ii) follows from (i) and the definition of associated cycles. This proves Theorem 1.5.

6.3. We give a proof of Theorem 1.8 using Theorem 1.5. Let $x = e = E^+E \in \mathcal{O}$. Then

$$(\mathfrak{k}/(\mathfrak{k}_e))^* = \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n-2p} \oplus \wedge^2\mathbb{C}^p \oplus (\mathbb{C}^p)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m-2p} \oplus \wedge^2(\mathbb{C}^p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{gl}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(p)/\Delta\mathfrak{gl}(p))^*$$

as a representation of $\triangle\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p) \times \mathrm{O}(m-2p)$ in the stabilizer K_e. Hence

$$\gamma = \det{}_{\mathrm{GL}(p)}^{n-m} \otimes \det{}_{\mathrm{O}(n-2p)}^{p} \otimes \det{}_{\mathrm{O}(m-2p)}^{p}$$

where the unipotent part of K_e acts trivially. If we set $\chi = \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$ as in (2), then (3) holds and $\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$ is an admissible representation of K_e. The rest of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.5. This proves Theorem 1.8.

7. ASSOCIATED CYCLE OF $\vartheta(\mu)$

The objective of this section is to give a proof of Theorem 1.6.

We recall $\eta_0 : \varsigma_0\Omega \to 1 \otimes \vartheta$ and $\varsigma_0\Omega = \mathcal{R}(W)$ in (18). We will denote $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(r')}^{\mu}$ by τ. Let $l : \tau \to \mathbb{C}$ denote a nonzero linear functional. Let $\mathrm{pr} : \vartheta \to \vartheta(\mu) \otimes \tau$ denote the projection to the summand in (6). Let $\nu' : \varsigma_0\Omega \to \vartheta(\mu)$ denote the composition $\nu' = (\mathrm{id} \otimes l) \circ \mathrm{pr}$. We set $F'_j = \nu'(\varsigma_0\Omega_j) = \mathrm{pr}(F_j)$ where F_j is the filtration of ϑ defined after (18). Let j_0 be the minimal j such that $F'_j \neq 0$ and let $G'_0 := F'_{j_0}$. If $n \leq m$, then by (20), it is not difficult to see that G'_0 is the minimal $K_{n,r}$-type of $\vartheta(\mu)$. However if $n > m$, G'_0 may not necessarily be the minimal $K_{n,r}$-type.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{so}(n+r, \mathbb{C})$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the subspace $G'_j = U_j(\mathfrak{g}_1)F'_{j_0} = U_j(\mathfrak{g}_1)G'_0$ of $\vartheta(\mu)$. Both F'_j and G'_j are filtrations of $\vartheta(\mu)$.

Lemma 7.1. *We have* $F'_{2j+1+j_0} = F'_{2j+j_0} = G'_j$.

Proof. The first equality follows from Lemma 4.1. Clearly $G'_j \subseteq F'_{2j+j_0}$. It remains to show that $G'_j \supseteq F'_{2j+j_0}$.

The genuine characters ς, ς_1, ς_0 etc... in (18) does not affect the lemma. Hence we will ignore them in this proof. The reader could easily insert them when necessary. For example, we will denote $\varsigma_0\Omega$ by Ω and $\theta(L(\mu))$ by $\vartheta(\mu)$.

We decompose $W = W' \times W''$ where $W' = M_{p,n+r}$ and $W'' = M_{p,r'}$ are spaces of complex matrices. We set $\Omega' = \mathcal{R}(W')$ and $\Omega'' = \mathcal{R}(W'')$. Then $\Omega = \mathcal{R}(W) = \Omega' \otimes \Omega''$. We recall Ω^d and Ω_j in Section 4. We define $(\Omega')^d = \Omega^d \cap \Omega'$ and $\Omega'_j = \Omega_j \cap \Omega'$ to be the natural grading and filtration on Ω' respectively. Similarly we define $(\Omega'')^d$ and Ω''_j in Ω''. We have

$$\Omega^d = \bigoplus_{a+b=d} (\Omega')^a \otimes (\Omega'')^b. \tag{21}$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{sp}(p, \mathbb{C})$ acts on Ω' and Ω''.

We decompose $\Omega'' = \bigoplus_{\mu'} L(\mu')^* \otimes \tau^{\mu'}$ with respect to the dual pair $(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(p, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(r'))$ where the sum is taken μ' run over all irreducible finite dimensional representations of $\mathrm{O}(r')$. Let $L = L(\mu)$. Let $h : \Omega' \to \vartheta(\mu) \otimes L$ be the usual Howe correspondence map. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega = \Omega' \otimes \Omega'' \arrow[r, two heads, "\eta_0"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\nu'"] \arrow[d, hook, "\cong"] & \vartheta \arrow[r, two heads, "(\mathrm{id}\otimes l)\circ\mathrm{pr}"] & \vartheta(\mu) \\
\bigoplus_{\mu'} \Omega' \otimes (L(\mu')^* \otimes \tau^{\mu'}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{pr}_\mu"'] & & \\
\Omega' \otimes (L^* \otimes \tau) \arrow[r, two heads, "h\otimes\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[uurr, dotted] & \vartheta(\mu) \otimes L \otimes L^* \otimes \tau \arrow[r, two heads, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\Pi\otimes\mathrm{id}"] & \vartheta(\mu) \otimes \tau \arrow[uu, dotted, two heads, "\mathrm{id}\otimes l"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The map $\Pi : L \otimes L^* \to \mathbb{C}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}'$-invariant pairing. First $\nu' = \mathrm{pr} \circ \eta_0$ factors through the μ-isotypic component of Ω, i.e. the dotted diagonal arrow exists. Since $\mathfrak{g}'$ acts trivially on ϑ, ν' will factor through $\vartheta(\mu) \otimes \tau$, i.e. the vertical dotted arrow exists.

Let σ' be the K'-type of lowest degree for $L(\mu)$. Let j'_0 be the degree of σ' in Ω' and let j''_0 be the degree of τ in Ω''. With reference to (21), $j_0 = j'_0 + j''_0$.

We note that $\mathrm{pr}_\mu(\Omega''_{j''_0}) = (\sigma')^* \otimes \mu$. Using Lemma 4.1, $\nu'(\Omega'_{a+j'_0} \otimes \Omega''_{j''_0}) = G'_{[a/2]}$.

Let $\epsilon = 0, 1$. By [H1], $\mathrm{pr}_\mu(\Omega''_{2j''+j''_0+\epsilon}) = \mathrm{pr}_\mu(U_{j''}(\mathfrak{g}')\Omega_{j''_0})$. Since the maps η_0 and ν' are $\mathfrak{g}'$-invariant,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu'(\Omega'_{a+j'_0} \otimes \Omega''_{2b+j''_0+\epsilon}) &= \nu'(\Omega'_{a+j'_0} \otimes U_b(\mathfrak{g}')\Omega_{j''_0}) = \nu'(U_b(\mathfrak{g}')\Omega'_{a+j'_0} \otimes \Omega_{j''_0}) \\
&\subseteq \nu'(\Omega'_{a+2b+j'_0} \otimes \Omega_{j''_0}) = G'_{[a/2]+b}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $F_{2j+2_0} = \nu'(\Omega_{2j+j_0}) \subseteq G'_j$ as required. $\square$

In order not to confuse with the graded module $M = \operatorname{Gr} \vartheta$ in the Section 6, we will denote the graded module $\operatorname{Gr} \vartheta(\mu) = \oplus_{j=0}^{\infty}(F'_j/F'_{j-1}) = \oplus_{j=0}^{\infty}(l \circ \operatorname{pr}(F_j/F_{j-1}))$ by M^{μ}. Then

$$M^{\mu} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, M). \tag{22}$$

Let $M_{n,m}$ be the space of complex n by m matrices. Since $\mathrm{S}(M_{n,r})$ commutes with $\mathrm{O}(r')$ in $\mathrm{S}(M_{n,m})$, (22) is an isomorphism of $(\mathrm{S}(M_{n,r}), K_{n,r})$-modules. By Proposition 5.5(iv), $\operatorname{pr}_K(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}_1}$ and $\operatorname{pr}_K^* : \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_1}) \to \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) = \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_0})$ is an injection. The $\mathrm{S}(M_{n,r})$-action factors through $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_1})$ and (22) is an isomorphism of graded $(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_1}), K_{n,r})$-modules.

7.2. . *Proof of Proposition 1.4.* Let Z_1 be the associated variety of $\vartheta(\mu)$. Since M^{μ} is an $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_1})$-module so Z_1 is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_1}$. On the other hand $\vartheta(\mu)$ is a submodule of ϑ. By Theorem 3.1 in [Ko], Z_1 contains the closure $\overline{\operatorname{pr}_K(\overline{\mathcal{O}})}$ which is $\overline{\mathcal{O}_1}$ by Proposition 5.5(iv). This shows that $Z_1 = \overline{\mathcal{O}_1}$ and proves Proposition 1.4. $\square$

7.3. **The stabilizers S_0, S_1 and T.** We recall Section 5.6 that $S_0 \subset S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(r')$. Given $\tau = \tau^{\mu}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}$, we define a representation of T of S_1 by

$$T := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, \operatorname{Ind}_{S_0}^{S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(r')} \mathbb{C}_{S_0}). \tag{23}$$

This representation will enter our main theorem.

We will compute $S_0 = S \cap (\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r'))$ and the representation T explicitly. We divide into Cases (I) to (III) according to Section 1.3. The proofs are not difficult so we will omit most details. We write

$$g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r'). \tag{24}$$

Case (I): $r, r' \geq p$. Let $\mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r-p)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(r)$ stabilizing the spans of $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_p\}$ and $\{\mathbf{e}_{p+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_r\}$. Similarly let $\mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r'-p)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(r')$ stabilizing the spans of $\{\mathbf{e}_{m-p+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_m\}$ and $\{\mathbf{e}_{r+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{m-p}\}$. Then S_0 consists of all $g = (g_1, g_1 g_2, g_1 g_3)$ as in (24) where $g_1 \in \mathrm{O}(p)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(p)$, $g_1 g_2 \in \mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r-p)$ in $\mathrm{O}(r)$ and $g_1 g_3 \in \mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r'-p)$ in $\mathrm{O}(r')$. By Lemma 5.7, $S_1 = \triangle \mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r-p)$. Then $T = \tau^{\mathrm{O}(r'-p)}$ as a $\triangle \mathrm{O}(p)$-module, and $\mathrm{O}(r-p)$ acts trivially.

Case (II): $r > p > r'$. Let $Q = (\mathrm{GL}(p-r') \times \mathrm{GL}(r')) \ltimes N'$ denote the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(p)$ stabilizing the span of the bottom r' rows of E, i.e. the span of $\{\mathbf{f}_{p-r'+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_p\}$. We will denote a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(r)$ with respect to this basis as

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \tag{25}$$

where b_{11} is a $p-r'$ square matrix, b_{22} is a r' square matrix.

We perform a change of basis for $\mathbb{C}^r$. Let $\mathbf{e}'_j = \mathbf{e}_j + \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{e}_{m-p+j}$ and $\mathbf{e}'_{m-p+j} = \mathbf{e}_j - \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{e}_{m-p+j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, p-r'$. Let $\mathbf{e}'_j = \mathbf{e}_j$ for $j = r'+1, \ldots, m-p$. We write $g \in \mathrm{O}(r)$

with respect to this new basis as

$$X_g = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} \tag{26}$$

where a_{11} is a $p-r'$ square matrix, a_{22} is a r' square matrix, a_{33} is a $m-2p$ square and a_{44} is a $p-r'$ square matrix. Let

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & 0 & I_1 \\ 0 & I_2 & 0 \\ \sqrt{-1}I_1 & 0 & -\sqrt{-1}I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_1 is the $p-r'$ by $p-r'$ identity matrix and I_2 is the $r+2r'-2p$ by $r+2r'-2p$ identity matrix. The matrix of g with respect to the standard basis is given by hX_gh^{-1}.

Lemma 7.4. *The stabilizer* S_0 *consists of all* $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$ *in* (24) *where in the notation of* (25) *and* (26)

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & 0 \\ b_{21} & g_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \textit{and} \quad (X_{g_2}^{-1})^\top = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (b_{11}^{-1})^\top b_{21}^\top g_3 & g_3 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{31} & 0 & a_{33} & 0 \\ x_{41} & x_{42} & x_{43} & (b_{11}^{-1})^\top \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $b_{11} \in \mathrm{GL}(p-r')$, $b_{21} \in M_{r',p-r'}(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $a_{33} \in \mathrm{O}(m-2p)$. *Each* x_{ij} *denotes an arbitrary entry so that* g_2 *is a matrix in* $\mathrm{O}(r)$.

Proof. The action of $g_3 \in \mathrm{O}(r')$ on E gives a matrix whose right bottom r' by r' sub-matrix is g_3 and all entries above g_3 are zero. This implies that $g_1 \in \mathrm{GL}(p)$ must fix the subspace spanned by $\{\mathbf{f}_{p-r'+1}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_p\}$. Hence g_1 belongs to the maximal parabolic subgroup Q and the b_{22} entry in (25) is g_3. This gives the matrix g_1 in the lemma. Let E' denote the p by r matrix after deleting the last r' columns of E. The action of g_1 on E' implies that g_2 must fixes row space V of E' and the subspace V_0 spanned by $\{\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_{p-r}\}$. Hence g_2 belongs to the maximal parabolic subspace fixing V_0. This implies that if $(X_{g_2}^{-1})^\top$ is of the form in (26), then a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14}, a_{24}, a_{34} are zero matrices. It fixes V implies that a_{23} and a_{32} are zero matrices too. It remains to identify the rest of the a_{ij}'s and we will leave them to the reader. □

We refer to the matrices (25) and (26). We note that $g_2 = (g_2^{-1})^\top = (h^{-1})^\top X_g h^\top$. Let N_0 denote the unipotent subgroup generated by x_{31}, x_{41}, x_{43} in Lemma 7.4. We set $N'' = \{(h^{-1})^\top N_0 h^\top \in \mathrm{O}(r)\}$ and $\overline{N}' = \{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ b_{21} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(p)\}$. Hence g_1 and g_2 in Lemma 7.4 could be written as

$$g_1 = g_3 b_{11} \overline{n} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2 = g_3 b_{11} a_{33} \overline{n} n'' \tag{27}$$

where $g_3 \in \mathrm{O}(r')$, $a_{11} \in \mathrm{GL}(p-r')$, $a_{33} \in \mathrm{O}(m-2p)$, $\overline{n} \in \overline{N}'$ and $n'' \in N''$.

$$S_1 = \triangle((\mathrm{O}(r') \times \mathrm{GL}(p-r')) \ltimes \overline{N}') \times \mathrm{O}(m-2p)N''.$$

Then $T = \tau$ as an $\triangle\mathrm{O}(r')$-module, and the other factor subgroups of S_1 act trivially.

Case (III): $r' > p > r$. We begin with the matrix $\sqrt{-1}E$, move the first r columns to the last r columns, and move the top r rows to the bottom r rows. Next we interchange the role of $\mathrm{O}(r)$ and $\mathrm{O}(r')$ and we are reduced to Case (II). We get the next lemma essentially from Lemma 7.4 by interchanging g_2 and g_3.

Lemma 7.5. *With respect to certain coordinates, the stabilizer S_0 consists of all $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$ where*

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} g_2 & b_{12} \\ 0 & b_{11} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (X_{g_3}^{-1})^\top = \begin{pmatrix} (b_{11}^{-1})^\top & x_{12} & (b_{11}^{-1})^\top b_{12}^\top g_2 & x_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & x_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & g_2 & x_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $b_{11} \in \mathrm{GL}(p-r)$, $b_{12} \in M_{r,p-r}(\mathbb{C})$ and $a_{22} \in \mathrm{O}(m-2p)$. Each x_{ij} denotes an arbitrary entry so that g_3 is a matrix in $\mathrm{O}(r')$. ☐

Similarly, by interchange g_2 and g_3 etc... in (27), we get

$$S_1 = \triangle\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{GL}(p-r)N'$$

in $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r)$ where N' is the unipotent subgroup generated by b_{21} in Lemma 7.5. Let $\overline{N}''$ denote the unipotent subgroup corresponding to x_{12}, x_{14}, x_{24} in Lemma 7.5. Then $T = \tau_{\mathrm{O}(m-2p)\overline{N}''}$ where the subscript implies taking co-invariants.

7.6. **Definition of $\overline{M}_1$.** We recall the character d_p of P_p in (2). We extend it to a character on $P_p \times \mathrm{O}(r)$ where it is trivial on $\mathrm{O}(n-2p)N_p \times \mathrm{O}(r)$. We recall $K_1' = (S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p))N_p$ in Section 5.6. We extend the representation T of S_1 to K_1' where $\mathrm{O}(n-2p)N_p$ acts trivially. We will define a representation $\overline{M}_1$ of K_1 in the following way according to Cases (I) to (IIIb) listed in Theorem 1.6:

(I) If $r, r' \geq p$, then $K_1' = K_1$ and $T = \tau^{\mathrm{O}(r'-p)}$. We define $\overline{M}_1 = \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_1}T$.

(II) If $r > p > r'$, then $K_1' = K_1$ and $T = \tau$. We define $\overline{M}_1 = \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_1}T$.

(IIIa) Suppose $r' > p > r$ and $n \geq m$. We set $\mu = \frac{n-m}{2}\mathbf{1}_{p-r}$. By (7), $\theta(\mu)$ contains the $K_{n,r}$-type $\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{O}(n)} \otimes \det_{\mathrm{O}(r)}^{\frac{m-n}{2}}$ with multiplicity one. Let $T = \tau_{\mathrm{O}(m-2p)\overline{N}''}$. Let $\overline{M}_1 = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1}\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_1'}T$ which is a representation of $K_1 \simeq (\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r))N_{r'}$. It is trivial on $N_{r'}$.

We claim that $\overline{M}_1$ is either zero or $\det_{\mathrm{O}(r)}^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{O}(n-2r)}$ as an $\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r)$-module. Indeed if τ'' is an irreducible $\mathrm{O}(n-2r)$-submodule in $\overline{M}_1$, then by the Frobenius reciprocity, the lowest weight of τ'' must be of the form $\frac{n-m}{2}\mathbf{1}_{p-r} + \mu'$ where μ' is a weight of T. This forces $\mu' = (0\mathbf{1}_r, \frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_{p-r})$ and $\tau'' = \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{O}(n-2r)}$. Hence $\overline{M}_1$ is generated by $\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$ tensoring the one dimensional extreme weight space of weight μ' in τ. This proves our claim.

(IIIb) Suppose $r' > p > r$ and $n < m$. We set $\mu = 0$ and τ to be the trivial representation of $\mathrm{O}(r')$. Then $\theta(\mu) \neq 0$ and T is the trivial representation of S_1. We define

$\overline{M}_1 = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1} \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_1'}$. It is a representation of $K_1 \simeq (\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r))N_{r'}$ which is trivial on $N_{r'}$ and $\det_{\mathrm{O}(r)}^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(n-2r)}^{\frac{m-n}{2}\mathbf{1}_{p-r}}$ on $\mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(n-2r)$.

7.7. We will now prove Theorem 1.6. We recall $M = \mathrm{Gr}\,\vartheta$ and $M^\mu = \mathrm{Gr}\,\vartheta(\mu)$. Let $\mathcal{M}^\mu$ be the corresponding coherent sheaf of M^μ. By Proposition 1.4, $\mathcal{M}^\mu|_{\mathcal{O}_1}$ is the $K_{n,r}$-equivariant sheaf induced by $\overline{M}_{e_1}$. Hence Theorem 1.6(ii) would follow immediately from part (i) and the definition of associated cycles.

It remains to prove Theorem 1.6(i). For $f \in \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_1})$, we set $f^* = \mathrm{pr}_K^*(f) \in \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$. Let $\mathcal{O}_1^* = (\mathrm{pr}_K^{-1}\mathcal{O}_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_1^* \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_0$ by Proposition 5.5(iii) and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_1^*) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0)$ by Proposition 3.1(iii). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^\mu(\mathcal{O}_1) &= \varprojlim_{U_f \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1} (M^\mu)_f = \varprojlim_{U_{f^*} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, M_{f^*}) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, \varprojlim_{U_{f^*} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1^*} M_{f^*}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_1^*)) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0)). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In the above equality, we use the fact that f^* is $\mathrm{O}(r')$-invariant and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, -)$ is an exact functor. We note that (28) is valid for Cases (I) to (III).

We recall that $\mathcal{O}_0$ is a $K_{n,r} \times \mathrm{O}(r')$-orbit. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0) &= \mathrm{Ind}_{K_0}^{K_{n,r} \times \mathrm{O}(r')}(\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_0}) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K_{n,r}} \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1} \mathrm{Ind}_{K_0}^{K_1' \times \mathrm{O}(r')}(\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_0}) \\ &= \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K_{n,r}} \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1}(\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_1'}\ {}^*\mathrm{Ind}_{S_0}^{S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(r')} \mathbb{C}_{S_0}). \end{aligned}$$

In the above equalities, we have used $K_0 = (S_0 \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p))N_p$ and $S_0 \subset S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(r')$. The induction ${}^*\mathrm{Ind}$ means induction followed by trivial extension across $\mathrm{O}(n-2p)N_p$. Putting them into (28) gives

$$\mathcal{M}^\mu(\mathcal{O}_1) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K_{n,r}} \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1}(\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_1'}\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, \mathrm{Ind}_{S_0}^{S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(r')} \mathbb{C}_{S_0})) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K_{n,r}} \overline{M}_1. \tag{29}$$

The second equality follows from the definition of T and $\overline{M}_1$ above. It is straightforward to check that above maps are all natural $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_1)$-module homomorphisms.

Suppose $U_f \subset \mathcal{O}_1$, then $U_{f^*} \subset \mathcal{O}_1^*$ and $U_{f^*} \cap \mathcal{O}_0 = U_{f^*} \cap \mathcal{O}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0) \neq 0$. We apply $B = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0)$, the $K_{n,r} \times \mathrm{O}(r')$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_0$ and $Z = \overline{\mathcal{O}_0} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ to Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2 and we get $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0)_{f^*} = \mathcal{L}(U_{f^*} \cap \mathcal{O}_0)$. Hence $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0)_{f^*} = \mathcal{L}(U_{f^*} \cap \mathcal{O}) = M_{f^*}$ and

$$\mathcal{M}^\mu(\mathcal{O}_1)_f = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0)_{f^*}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau, M_{f^*}) = M_f^\mu = \mathcal{M}^\mu(U_f).$$

In particular for $x \in \mathcal{O}_1$ we have $\mathcal{M}^\mu(\mathcal{O}_1)_x = (M^\mu)_x$ where the subscript x denotes the localization with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x$ at x.

When $x = e_1$, the complex vector space $\overline{M}_{e_1} = (M^\mu)_{e_1}/\mathfrak{m}_{e_1}(M^\mu)_{e_1}$ is generated by global sections. By (29), $\overline{M}_{e_1}$ is a subspace of $\overline{M}_1$. Since $\overline{M}_{e_1}$ is nonzero by Proposition 1.4, $\overline{M}_1$ is also nonzero.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{M}^\mu$ on $\mathcal{O}_1$ is the $K_{n,r}$-equivariant bundle induced by $\overline{M}_1$. This would follow immediately from Proposition 3.1 if we could show that the composite map $\mathcal{M}^\mu(\mathcal{O}_1) = B \to (\mathcal{M}^\mu)_x \to \overline{M}_1$ at $x = e_1$ is surjective. In Cases (II), (IIIa) and (IIIb), $\overline{M}_1$ is an irreducible representation of K_1 so we apply Remark 3.2. It remains to check

Case (I). In this case, $\overline{M}_1$ is a semisimple representation of K_1 (and also of S_1) so it suffices to prove surjectivity onto any irreducible $\mathrm{O}(p)$-submodule $\overline{M}_0$ of $\overline{M}_1$. Suppose $T_0 = \tau^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{O}(p)}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{O}(p)$-submodule of $T = \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{m-n}{2}} \overline{M}_1$ which is trivial on $\mathrm{O}(n-2p)N_p$. Then $B = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K_{n,r}} \overline{M}_1$ will contain the $K_{n,r}$-type $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{|\frac{m-n}{2}|\mathbf{1}_p} \otimes \tau^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{O}(r)}$ which surjects onto $\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}} T_0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6(i).

7.8. We will briefly discuss $\vartheta(\mu)$ in Case (III) but not necessarily in Cases (IIIa) or (IIIb). We recall $T = \tau_{\mathrm{O}(m-2p)\overline{N}''}$ and we define $T_1 = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1}(\mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}|_{K_1'} T)$.

First we claim that T_1 is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. Indeed suppose A_1, A_2, A_3 are K_1'-subquotients of T and satisfy an exact sequence

$$0 \to A_1 \to A_2 \to A_3 \to 0.$$

By interpreting $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1} A_1$ as global sections of a K_1-equivariant sheaf on K_1/K_1', we have a left exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1} A_1 \to \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1} A_2 \to \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1} A_3.$$

Let $\mathrm{ss}(T_1) = \oplus_j W_j$ be the semi-simplification of T_1 where each W_j is an irreducible representation of K_1'. In particular the unipotent part of K_1' acts trivially. Then the above exact sequence implies that

$$\dim T_1 \leq \sum_j \dim \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1'}^{K_1} W_j.$$

Using the explicit descriptions of K_1' and K_1 in Case (III), we conclude that each summand on the right hand side is finite dimensional. Hence $\dim T_1$ is also finite dimensional. This proves our claim.

Proposition 7.9. *Let $m_1[\mathcal{O}_1]$ be the associated cycle of $\vartheta(\mu)$ in Case (III). Then $m_1 \leq \dim T_1$.*

Proof. By replacing $\overline{M}_1$ with T_1 in Section 7.7 we have $\mathcal{M}^{\mu}(\mathcal{O}_1) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K_{n,r}} T_1$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mu}(\mathcal{O}_1)_x = (M^{\mu})_x$. This gives an injection of $\overline{M}_{e_1} = (M^{\mu})_{e_1}/\mathfrak{m}_{e_1}(M^{\mu})_{e_1}$ into T_1. Hence $m_1 = \dim \overline{M}_{e_1} \leq \dim T_1$ and proves the proposition. ☐

Finally if $r' \geq 2p$, then $L(\mu)$ is in the discrete series. By [Li2], $\vartheta(\mu)$ is a unitarizable Harish-Chandra module with nonzero $(\mathfrak{g}_1, K^0_{n,r})$-cohomology. In particular one could compute its associated cycle by other means.

7.10. We end this section with a conjecture of K. Nishiyama. The associated variety of the unitary lowest weight module $L(\mu)$ is the closure of a single $\mathrm{GL}(p)$-orbit. Let m' denote the multiplicity of the associate cycle. By [NOT] and [Ya], $m' = \dim(\tau^{\mu})^{\mathrm{O}(r'-p)}$. By Theorem 1.6, m' is equal to the multiplicity m_1 of $\vartheta(\mu)$ in Cases (I) and (II). This is an extension of Proposition 4.10 in [NZ] for the dual pair $\mathrm{Sp}(p,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{O}(n,r)$. This agrees with a conjecture of Nishiyama which states that the multiplicities of the associated cycles are preserved in theta lifts. However in Case (IIIb), $m' = 1$ but $m_1 > 1$. In Case (IIIa), $m_1 = 1$ but $m' = 1$ if and only if $m = n$. It seems that the stable range condition (i.e. $2p \leq \min(n,r)$ for our dual pair) is a necessary condition for the conjecture to hold.

8. UNIPOTENT REPRESENTATIONS

8.1. First we will prove Proposition 1.7. We set $x = e_1 = E^+E_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1$, stabilizer $K_x = K_1$ and $\chi = \overline{M}_1$. It is not difficult to check using the Frobenius reciprocity that Proposition 1.7 does not hold in Case (I). Hence we will assume Cases (II) and (III) for the rest of this section.

Proposition 8.2. *Suppose $m \geq 2p$ and $p > \min(r', r)$. Let $\partial\mathcal{O}_0 = \overline{\mathcal{O}} - \mathcal{O}_0$ denote the boundary of $\mathcal{O}_0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Then $\partial\mathcal{O}_0$ has codimension at least two in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Proof. By symmetry, we may assume that $r > p > r'$. By (17), $\dim\mathcal{O} = \dim K_{n,m} - \dim K_e = p(m+n-1-2p)$. We assume the notation $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_0$ etc... in Section 5.1. First $\overline{\mathcal{O}} - \mathcal{O}$ is a union of $\mathcal{O}(p', m; 0)$ where $p' < p$. By the same formula, $\dim\mathcal{O}(p', m; 0) = p'(m+n-1-2p')$. Since (p, n, m) is in stable range, $\dim\mathcal{O} - \dim\mathcal{O}(p', m; 0) = (p-p')[m+n-4p-1+2(p-p')] \geq 2$. In order to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{O}_0$ has codimension at least two in $\mathcal{O}$.

For $X \in \mathcal{O}$, let $C(X)$ denote its row space. Then $C(X)$ is an isotropic subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of dimension p. Let $G(n, p)_0$ denote the Grassmanian consisting of all isotropic subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of dimension p. The map $\mathcal{O} \to G(n, p)_0$ given by $X \mapsto C(X)$ commutes with the action of $\mathrm{O}(n)$. The fiber over $C(E^+E)$ is $\mathcal{N}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_0 = (\mathrm{Ad}\,\mathrm{O}(n))E^+\mathcal{N}_0$, it suffices to show that $c \geq 2$ where c is the codimension of $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_0$ in $\mathcal{N}$.

Let $E(p, m)$ be the matrix in (12) where n is replaced by m. We will define a p by m matrix E^*. If $m \geq 2p+1$ then there exists a column of zeros in $E(p, m)$. Let E^* denote the p by m matrix obtained from the matrix $E(p, m)$ by removing this zero column and insert back as the last column. If $m = 2p$, then we set

$$E^* = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} I_{r'-1} & & & \sqrt{-1}I_{r'-1} & & \\ & & & & 1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ & I_{p-r'+1} & \sqrt{-1}I_{p-r'+1} & & & \end{array}\right)$$

where I_s is the $s \times s$ identity matrix.

Lemma 8.3. *The set $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_0$ lies in the the closure of the $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$-orbit $\mathcal{N}^*$ generated by E^*.*

Proof. The proof is based on a simple idea but involves lengthy matrix manipulation. We will only give a sketch. For any $X \in M_{p,r+r'}$, let X_1 and X_2 be the first r columns and the last r' columns of X respectively. Pick $X \in \mathcal{N} - (\mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}^*)$. Using the action of $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$ we may assume that X is a nice matrix with many zero entries. Based on the matrix X, we subdivide into a few cases. In each case, one shows that $X = \lim_{t\to 0} X(t)$ where $\{X(t) : t \neq 0\}$ is a family of matrices $X(t) \in \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_0$ such that $X_2(t)X_2(t)^\top$ has higher rank than $X_2X_2^\top$. Note that $\mathcal{N}^*$ consists the element $X \in \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_0$ such that $X_2X_2^\top$ has maximal possible rank among all $X \in \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_0$. Now the lemma follows by induction. ☐

We return to the proof of Proposition 8.2 where we want to show that $c \geq 2$. Let S_0 and S_0^* be the stabilizers of $E(p, m)$ and E^* respectively in $\mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$. By

Lemma 8.3, $c = \dim \mathcal{N} - \dim \mathcal{N}^* = \dim S_0^* - \dim S_0$. By Lemma 7.4,

$$\dim S_0 = \frac{1}{2}\left(p^2 - p + r^2 - r + (r')^2 - r'\right) + p(p - r - r' + 1). \tag{30}$$

It remains to compute $\dim S_0^*$. Let E_2^* denote the last r' rows of E^*.

First we consider the case $m \geq 2p+1$. Suppose $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathrm{GL}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(r) \times \mathrm{O}(r')$ lies in S_0^*. Then g stabilizes the row space of E_2^*, i.e. $g_3 \in \mathrm{O}(r'-1)$. Now the computation of S_0^* is exactly as that of S_0 in Lemma 7.4 except that we have to reduce both m and r' by 1. Hence $\dim S_0^*$ is given by the right hand side of (30) after reducing r' by 1. With these, we compute that $c = \dim S_0^* - \dim S_0 = 1 + p - r' \geq 2$.

Next we consider $m = 2p$. By choosing nice bases of $\mathbb{C}^r$ and $\mathbb{C}^{r'}$ as in Section 7.3 one checks that $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3) \in \mathfrak{gl}(p) \times \mathfrak{so}(r) \times \mathfrak{so}(r')$ lies in the Lie algebra of S_0^* if and only if

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{12} & b_{13} \\ 0 & a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{33} & b_{13} & c_0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & -b_{13}^\top \\ 0 & 0 & -b_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & -a_{12}^\top \\ 0 & 0 & -a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_{11} \in \mathfrak{gl}(1)$, $a_{22} \in \mathfrak{so}(r'-2)$, $a_{12} \in M_{1,r'-2}$, $b_{13} \in M_{p-r'+1,r'-2}$, $b_{33} \in \mathfrak{gl}(p - r' + 1)$ and $c_0 \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{p-r'+1}$. Therefore $\dim S_0^* = \frac{3}{2}p^2 - 2pr' + \frac{1}{2}p + r'^2 - r' + 1$. Hence $c = \dim S_0^* - \dim S_0 = 1 + p - r' \geq 2$. This completes the proof Proposition 8.2. ☐

Lemma 8.4. *Suppose $\partial\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathcal{O} - \mathcal{O}_0$ has codimension at least two in $\mathcal{O}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{K_e}^{K_{n,m}} \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}} = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_0}^{K_{n,r} \times \mathrm{O}(r')} \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $K = K_{n,m}$ and $e = E^+E \in \mathcal{O}$. We define $q : K \to \mathcal{O}$ by $q(k) = (\mathrm{Ad}^* k)e$. The left hand side of the lemma is $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_e}^{K_{n,m}} \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}) = (\mathcal{R}(K) \otimes \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}})^{K_1}$ and the right hand side of the lemma is $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_0}^{K_{n,r} \times \mathrm{O}(r')} \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0) = (\mathcal{R}(q^{-1}\mathcal{O}_0) \otimes \mathrm{d}_p^{\frac{n-m}{2}})^{K_1}$.

It suffices to show that $\mathcal{R}(K) = \mathcal{R}(q^{-1}\mathcal{O}_0)$. We have $K/K_e \simeq \mathcal{O}$ and $q^{-1}\mathcal{O}_0/K_e \simeq \mathcal{O}_0$ and Proposition 8.2 implies that $q^{-1}\mathcal{O}_0$ has codimension at least two in K. Now K is a nonsingular variety and hence it is normal. By Corollary 8.3 in [Ja], $\mathcal{R}(K) = \mathcal{R}(q^{-1}\mathcal{O}_0)$. This proves the lemma. ☐

Proof of Proposition 1.7. By Lemma 8.4, $M^\mu = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau^\mu, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O})) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(r')}(\tau^\mu, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_0))$. By (28) this is equal to $\mathcal{M}^\mu(\mathcal{O}_1) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_1}^{K_{n,r}} \overline{M}_{e_1}$. We add that (28) is valid for Cases (II) and (III). This proves Proposition 1.7. ☐

8.5. *Proof of Corollary 1.9.* Suppose $\overline{M}_{e_1} = \overline{M}_1$ is an admissible representation of K_1. Then it is necessary that $\overline{M}_1$ is trivial on the connected component of the semi-simple part of K_1. This implies that $\dim \overline{M}_1 = \dim \tau = 1$ in Case (II).

Conversely we verify that $\overline{M}_1$ given in the corollary is an admissible representation of K_1. In Case (IIIa) the connected component of K_1 modulo its unipotent subgroup is $\mathrm{SO}(r) \times \mathrm{SO}(n-2r)$. Since $\dim \overline{M}_1 = 1$, the admissibility of $\overline{M}_1$ is trivially satisfied.

Next we check (ii). We refer to $(g_1, g_2) \in S_1 \subset K_1$ in Lemma 7.4 and the matrix b_{11} in g_1. Since $\overline{M}_1$ and χ in (3) are both one dimensional characters, it suffices to show that both characters agree on a diagonal matrix b_{11} with diagonal entries $\{a, 1, \ldots, 1\}$. Using the explicit description of S_1 in Lemma 7.4 and the fact that $K_1 = (S_1 \times \mathrm{O}(n-2p))N_p$, we check that both characters maps $b_{11} \mapsto a^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$. This proves that $\overline{M}_1$ is admissible in (ii).

The last assertion of the corollary is Proposition 1.7. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.9. ☐

Special unipotent representations. We will briefly review special unipotent primitive ideals and representations in Chapter 12 in [V2]. Also see Section 2.2 in [T]. We will apply these to the representations in Corollary 1.9.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n+r, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\vartheta(\mu)$ be the representation in Corollary 1.9(ii) where $r > p > r'$, $r + r' \geq 2p$ and $\dim \tau^\mu = 1$. Its infinitesimal character corresponds to the weight $\omega = (\varrho_{r'}, \varrho_{n+r-2p}, \varrho_{2p-r'+2})$ under the Harish-Chandra parametrization [Lo]. Here $\varrho_N = (\frac{N}{2} - 1, \frac{N}{2} - 2, \ldots)$ denotes the half sum of the positive roots of $\mathfrak{so}(N)$, and we insert or remove zeros from ω if the string of numbers is too short or too long. The restriction of $\vartheta(\mu)$ to $(\mathfrak{g}, K^0_{n,r})$ decomposes into a finite number of irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K^0_{n,r})$-submodules. Let $\vartheta(\mu)^0$ denote one of these irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K^0_{n,r})$-submodules. Since $\mathcal{O}_1$ is also a $K^0_{n,r}$-orbit, $\vartheta(\mu)^0$ also have associated variety $\mathcal{O}_1$.

We claim that the weight ω also represents the infinitesimal character of $\vartheta(\mu)^0$ as a $(\mathfrak{g}, K^0_{n,r})$-module. Indeed we may have an ambiguity only if $n + r$ is even where the infinitesimal character is either ω or $s(\omega)$. Here s is the involution induced by the outer automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. In this case r' is even and the weight ω contains a zero in the string of numbers. Hence ω and $s(\omega)$ represent the same infinitesimal character and proves our claim.

Under the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence, $\mathcal{O}_1$ generates a nilpotent $\mathrm{SO}(n+r, \mathbb{C})$-orbit $\mathcal{C}_1$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. The orbit $\mathcal{C}_1$ has the same Young diagram as that of $\mathcal{O}_1$ in Section 1.3 less the plus and minus signs. Let J_1 denote the primitive ideal of $\vartheta(\mu)^0$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. The ideal J_1 has a filtration $\{J_{1,s} = U_s(\mathfrak{g}) \cap J_1 : s \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By [V3], $\mathrm{Gr}\,(J_1)$ cuts out the variety $\overline{\mathcal{C}_1}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Let Φ and R be the roots and the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ denote the simple Lie algebra with Φ as coroots. In particular $\mathfrak{g}^\vee = \mathfrak{so}(n+r, \mathbb{C})$ if $n+r$ is even and $\mathfrak{g}^\vee = \mathfrak{sp}(\frac{n+r-1}{2}, \mathbb{C})$ if $n+r$ is odd. We refer to Chapter 6 in [CM] on the order reversing map d (resp. $d^\vee$) from the set of complex nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^*$) to the complex nilpotent orbits in $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^*$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}^*$). The orbit $\mathcal{C}_1$ is called special if it is in the image of $d^\vee$ and for a special orbit $\mathcal{C}_1$, we have $d^\vee(d(\mathcal{C}_1)) = \mathcal{C}_1$.

Suppose $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a special orbit. By the Jacobson-Morozov theorem, let $\{X^\vee, H^\vee, Y^\vee\}$ be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple such that $X^\vee \in d(\mathcal{C}_1)$. We may assume that $\frac{1}{2}H^\vee$ lies in $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$. In this way $\frac{1}{2}H^\vee$ defines an infinitesimal character of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ via the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. If $d(\mathcal{C}_1)$ has Young diagram $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)$ then $\frac{1}{2}H^\vee = (\varrho_{a_1+1}, \varrho_{a_2+1}, \ldots, \varrho_{a_s+1})$.

Suppose $\frac{1}{2}H^\vee$ gives the same infinitesimal character ω as that of $\vartheta(\mu)^0$. Let J denote the unique maximal primitive ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with infinitesimal character $\frac{1}{2}H^\vee$. We will call J a special unipotent primitive ideal. By Corollary A3 in [BV], the variety cut out by $\mathrm{Gr}\,(J)$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is the same as that of $\mathrm{Gr}\,(J_1)$, namely $\overline{\mathcal{C}_1}$. By Corollary 4.7 in [BK], $J_1 = J$. We say that $\vartheta(\mu)^0$ is a special unipotent representation.

Proposition 8.6. *Suppose we are in Corollary 1.9(ii), i.e. $r + r' \geq 2p$, $r > p > r'$ and $\dim \tau^\mu = 1$. Then $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a special orbit and $\vartheta(\mu)^0$ is a special unipotent representation.*

Proof. The orbit is special could be read off from page 100 in [CM]. Indeed $d(\mathcal{C}_1) = (n+r-2p-1, 2p-r'+1, r'-1, 1)$ if $r' > 1$ and $(n+r-2p-1, 2p, 1)$ if $r' = 1$. Furthermore $d^\vee d(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{C}_1$. We have $\frac{1}{2}H^\vee = (\varrho_{r'}, \varrho_{n+r-2p}, \varrho_{2p-r'+2}) = \omega$ which is the infinitesimal character of $\vartheta(\mu)^0$. The conclusion that $\vartheta(\mu)^0$ is special unipotent follows from the discussion prior to the proposition. ☐

We apply the same considerations to Case (IIIa). Then $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a special orbit and $\frac{1}{2}H^\vee = (\varrho_{n-r}, \varrho_{r+1}, \varrho_{r+1})$ if r is even and $\frac{1}{2}H^\vee = (\varrho_{n-r}, \varrho_{r+2}, \varrho_r)$ if r is odd. A similar proof as that of Proposition 8.6 gives the next proposition.

Proposition 8.7. *In Case (IIIa), $\vartheta(\mu)$ is a special unipotent representation if and only if $n = m = r + r' = 2p + \epsilon$, $\mu = 0$, $2p \geq r' > p$ and $\epsilon \in \{1, 2\}$.* ☐

References

[BV] Barbash and D., Vogan, *Unipotent representation of complex semisimple groups*, Ann. Math. 121 (1985), 41-110.

[BK] W. Borho and H. Kraft, *Uber die Gelfand-Kirillov-Dimension.* Math. Ann. 220 (1976), 1-24.

[CPS] E. Cline, B. Parshall and Leonard Scott, *A Mackey Imprimitivity Theory for Algebraic Groups.* Math. Z. 182 (1983), 447-471.

[CM] D.H. Collingwood and W. Mcgovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras.* Van Nostrand Reihnhold Mathematics Series, New York, (1993).

[EHW] T. Enright, R. Howe and N. Wallach, *A classification of unitary highest weight modules.* Representation theory of reductive groups (Park City, Utah, 1982), 97–143, Progr. Math., 40, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, (1983).

[GW] Roe Goodman and Nolan R. Wallach, *Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups* Cambridge U. Press, 1998; third corrected printing, 2003.

[H1] R. Howe, *Remarks on classical invariant theory.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **313** (1989), no. 2, 539–570.

[H2] R. Howe *Transcending classical invariant theory.* J. AMS **2** no. 3 (1989).

[H3] Roger Howe *Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond.* The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv), 1–182, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 8, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1995.

[Ja] J. C. Jantzen, *Nipotent orbits in representation theory*, in Lie theory: Lie algebras and representations, Progress in Mathematics 228, Birkhauser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, (2204).

[KV] M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne, *On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials.* Invent. Math. **44** (1978), no. 1, 1-47.

[Kn] A. Knapp, *Nilpotent orbits and some unitary representations of indefinite orthogonal groups.* J. Funct. Anal. 209 (2004), 36-100.

[Ko] T. Kobayashi, *Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups. III. Restriction of Harish-Chandra modules and associated varieties.* Invent. Math. 131, no. 2 (1998), 229-256.

[KØ] T. Kobayashi and B. Ørsted, *Analysis of the minimal representation of* $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ *I, II, III.* Adv. Math. 180, no. 2 (2003), 486-595.

[Li1] J.-S. Li, *Singular unitary representations of classical groups.* Invent. Math. **97** (1990), 237-255.

[Li2] J.-S. Li, *Theta lifting for unitary representations with nonzero cohomology.* Duke Math. J. 61, no. 3 (1990), 913-937

[Lo] H. Y. Loke, *Howe quotients of unitary characters and unitary lowest weight modules.* Representation Theory. 10 (2006), 21-47.

[LMT] H. Y. Loke, J.-j. Ma and U. Tang *Transfer of K-types and local theta lifts of unitary characters and lowest weight modules.* preprint.

[NOT] K. Nishiyama, H. Ochiai and K. Taniguchi, *Bernstein degree and associated cycles of Harish-Chandra modules—Hermitian symmetric case.* Nilpotent orbits, associated cycles and Whittaker models for highest weight representations. Astérisque No. 273 (2001), 13–80.

[NOZ] K. Nishiyama, H. Ochiai and C.-B. Zhu, *Theta lifting of nilpotent orbits for symmetric pairs* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 358, (2006), 2713-2734.

[NZ] K. Nishiyama and C.-B. Zhu, *Theta lifting of unitary lowest weight modules and their associated cycles.* Duke Math. J. 125, no. 3 (2004), 415-465.

[T] Peter E. Trapa, *Some small unipotent representations of indefinite orthogonal groups.* Journal of Functional Analysis **213** (2004) 290-320.

[V1] D. Vogan, *Representations of real reductive Lie groups*, Birkhauser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, (1981).

[V2] David A. Vogan, *Unitary representations of reductive Lie groups.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 118, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., (1987).

[V3] D. Vogan, *Associated varieties and unipotent representations,* Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Lie Groups. Progr. in Math. 101. Birkhauser (1991), 315-388.

[Ya] H. Yamashita, *Cayley transform and generalized Whittaker models for irreducible highest weight modules.* Astérisque 273 (2001), 81-137.

[Yn] Liang Yang, *On quantization of spherical nilpotent orbits of $\mathfrak{g}$-height 2*, HKUST Thesis (2010).

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 21 Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119077.

E-mail address: `matlhy@nus.edu.sg, jiajunma@nus.edu.sg, g0600577@nus.edu.sg`